

**DATE:** October 18, 2022

**TO:** Mayor and City Council

**FROM:** Assistant City Manager/Development Services Director

**SUBJECT:** Density Bonus Update: Review and Discuss Updates to the City's Density Bonus

Ordinance for Compliance with State Density Bonus Laws

#### RECOMMENDATION

That the Council provides feedback and guidance on staff's recommended updates to the Density Bonus Ordinance, including the specific density bonus incentives and concessions contained within this staff report. Following this work session, staff will finalize the draft Ordinance, identify the amendments needed to Chapter 10, Article 19 of the Hayward Municipal Code to reflect these updates, and complete the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) analysis before returning to the Planning Commission for recommendation and Council for final consideration later this year.

### **SUMMARY**

The City's existing Density Bonus Ordinance adopted in 2005 does not conform with current State law. The current State law, which is required to be implemented by local jurisdictions, offers more density, more incentives, and additional relaxed parking requirements to projects that qualify for the density bonus. The State's Density Bonus formula takes precedence; however, the City can change the formula to offer a higher density bonus and more incentives for certain projects, but under no circumstances can the City offer smaller density bonuses or fewer incentives than the State formula mandates. Staff is proposing to update the City's Density Bonus Ordinance to reflect three main changes:

- Exceed the State's Density Bonus Maximum by 5% for all density bonus projects that provide on-site affordable units;
- Exceed the State's Density Bonus Maximum by 10% for projects that provide on-site affordable units and target special populations; and
- Increase the number of incentives/concessions for projects that exceed the minimum on-site affordable housing requirements under the City's Affordable Housing Ordinance (AHO) in order to encourage development of more affordable units.

These recommendations are based on previous feedback provided by the Council, Planning Commission and the City's Homelessness Housing Task Force (HHTF). Staff is also looking to streamline the review of Density Bonus applications and working on a policy for possible fee

deferrals to offset upfront costs associated with affordable housing construction to help overall project feasibility. This work session is to obtain feedback from Council on staff's recommended updates to the City's Density Bonus Ordinance to incentivize affordable housing production and housing for several target populations. Following this work session, staff will finalize the draft Ordinance and related CEQA analysis before returning to Planning Commission and Council for final consideration later this year.

#### **BACKGROUND**

The City's existing Density Bonus Ordinance adopted in 2005 does not conform with current State law. The current State law, which is required to be implemented by local jurisdictions, offers more density, more incentives, and additional relaxed parking requirements to projects that qualify for the density bonus. The State's Density Bonus formula takes precedence; however, the City can change the formula to offer a higher density bonus and more incentives for certain projects, but under no circumstances can the City offer smaller density bonuses or fewer incentives than the State formula mandates. As part of this project, the current Density Bonus Ordinance, contained in Chapter 10, Article 19 of the Hayward Municipal Code, will need to be repealed and replaced with a new Density Bonus Ordinance that, at minimum, complies with State guidelines and reflects the direction previously provided by Council. Additional details on State's density bonus laws and the feedback received from the Council, Planning Commission, and HHTF are included below.

# **Summary of State Density Bonus**

The Density Bonus Law<sup>1</sup> is about creating a package of incentives intended to help make the development of affordable and other special needs housing economically feasible. The main incentives include permitting a density increase beyond the local limits, providing incentives or concessions that reduce development costs, and waiving development standards that prohibit development at the approved density. Cities are required to grant a density bonus and other incentives and/or concessions to projects which contain one of the following:

- At least 5% of the housing units are restricted to very-low-income residents.
- At least 10% of the housing units are restricted to low-income residents.
- At least 10% of the housing units in a for-sale common interest development are restricted to moderate-income residents.
- 100% of the housing units (other than manager's units) are restricted to very-low, low, and moderate-income residents (with a maximum of 20% moderate-income).
- At least 10% of the housing units are for transitional foster youth, disabled veterans, or homeless persons, with rents restricted at the very-low-income level.
- At least 20% of the housing units are for low-income college students in housing dedicated for full-time students at accredited colleges.
- The project donates at least one acre of land to the city or county for very-low income units, and the land has the appropriate general plan designation, zoning, permits and approvals, and access to public facilities needed for such housing.
- The project is a senior citizen housing development (no affordable units required).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Meyers Nave Guide to California Density Bonus Law: https://www.meyersnave.com/wp-content/uploads/California-Density-Bonus-Law 2022.pdf

• The project is a mobile home park, age-restricted to senior citizens (no affordable units required).

Projects that exceed the minimum requirements can get a higher density bonus and are eligible for additional incentives/concessions and waivers.

*Joint City Council and Planning Commission Work Session*. On February 1, 2022<sup>2</sup>, the Council and Planning Commission held a Joint Work Session to review and discuss the updates to the City's Density Bonus Ordinance for compliance with the State Density Bonus Laws and Residential Objective Standards. The Council and Planning Commission indicated support for:

- 1. Creating an ordinance that is flexible enough to accommodate future changes by citing State law instead of codifying the full state legislation;
- 2. Aligning the density bonus approval process with the standard entitlement process to streamline housing permitting;
- 3. Offering incentives above what State law offers;
- 4. Tailoring the Ordinance to meet Hayward's housing needs; and
- 5. Pre-defining approved incentives/concessions.

Based on the feedback from the Council and Planning Commission, staff has developed a draft Ordinance that reflects changes in State law as well as streamlines the review of Density Bonus applications.

Some of the priorities identified by the Council and Planning Commission will be useful when negotiating community benefits related to some land use entitlements (Planned Development rezonings or General Plan Amendments), but in some cases, the priorities identified increase development costs instead of decreasing them and therefore are not relevant to the density bonus. Details about the development priorities which are not included in the discussion of the density bonus project are included in Attachment III.

<u>Homelessness Housing Task Force</u>. On June 29, 2022<sup>3</sup>, the HHTF held a work session to review several options proposed by staff related to updates to the City's Density Bonus Ordinance. In general, the HHTF supported incentives for certain target populations (senior housing, student housing, and family housing), supported incentives for universal design, and supported additional concessions/incentives to help make projects more feasible.

In response to HHTF feedback, staff refined the recommendations and streamlined the proposed Ordinance to allow applicants more flexibility in obtaining these incentives and help administration of the density bonus program be more efficient for staff. Additional analysis of these components is detailed below.

### **DISCUSSION**

Based on the feedback from the Council, Planning Commission, and HHTF, staff is proposing four main changes to the City's Density Bonus Ordinance to provide cost saving incentives

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> February 1, 2022, Joint Session Staff Report and Attachments

https://hayward.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=5397460&GUID=B175606F-4591-4D2E-B41A-328BD292B038&Options=&Search= 
3 lune 29, 2022, Homelessness Housing Task Force

that could increase the percentage of on-site affordable housing and/or deepen the level of affordability served. Staff believes the proposed density bonus increase, coupled with the additional incentives/concessions to encourage the development of on-site affordable units and streamlining the review of applications, will help incentivize construction of housing in Hayward with a focus on the inclusion of on-site affordable units for development projects. A comparison of the State's existing Density Bonus regulations and the proposed Hayward regulations are detailed below and summarized in tables included as Attachment II.

# Additional Density Bonus For On-Site Affordable Units

As part of the update to the City's Density Bonus Ordinance, staff is recommending a 5% density bonus increase over the maximum density bonus for projects that meet the State's criteria and provides on-site affordable units. While applicants currently have the option to pay an inclusionary in-lieu fee or provide on-site affordable units in order to meet the City's Affordable Housing Ordinance, staff recommends a higher density bonus be provided for applicants who choose to provide units on-site at levels necessary to achieve the maximum density bonus. Not only will this option support the construction of additional housing to assist the City to meet its Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) requirement, but will also create additional affordable units throughout the City, which is essential given the ongoing housing crisis throughout the State.

## **Additional Density Bonus for Special Target Populations**

Staff is also recommending an additional density bonus for projects that both meet the State's criteria for a maximum density bonus and target special needs populations. The State Density Bonus Law currently incentivizes the development of senior housing, student housing, and housing for foster youth, disabled veterans, and people experiencing homelessness by allowing a 20 to 35 percent increase in density and, in some cases, without any affordable housing targets. Based on the feedback from the Council, Planning Commission, and HHTF, there is interest in creating additional density bonus for housing that accommodates certain target populations, including those people with disabilities, affordable student housing, senior housing, mixed-income rental projects that provide more than 20% of the rental units for 3+ bedrooms, and projects that incorporate universal design principals. The feedback received is also consistent with the findings of the Displacement Study<sup>4</sup>, which identified a need for additional housing for certain populations. To further incentivize the construction of housing for these target populations, staff is recommending an additional 5% increase, in addition to the 5% baseline increase, for a 10% total density bonus increase for projects that serve these populations, which would be over and above the State's maximum. Staff does not recommend increasing the density bonus for market rate senior projects in order to encourage instead the development of affordable senior housing units. The recommended density bonus increases for Hayward are provided in in Attachment II.

# Increase the Number of Incentives/Concessions for On-Site Affordable Units

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Displacement Study:

In addition to the recommended increases in Density Bonus for projects that provide on-site affordable units, staff is also recommending projects receive an additional incentive or concession to help project feasibility. Per current State law, cities are required to provide one or more "incentives" or "concessions" to each project which qualifies for a density bonus (except for market rate senior projects with no affordable units, and land donated for very-low-income housing). A concession/incentive is defined as:

- A reduction of site development standards or a modification of zoning code or architectural design requirements, such as a reduction of minimum square footage requirements;
- Approval of mixed-use zoning; or
- Other regulatory incentives or concessions which result in identifiable and actual cost reductions.

The number of required incentives/concessions is based on the percentage of affordable units. The City is required to grant the incentives/concessions proposed by the developer unless it finds that the proposed concession or incentive does not result in identifiable and actual cost reductions, would cause a public health or safety problem, would cause an environmental problem, would harm historical property, or would be contrary to law.

In response to recommendations from the Council, Planning Commission, and HHTF, staff has revised the previous approach for pre-defined incentives to provide applicants with more flexibility and be less prescriptive, as shown in Attachment II. For projects that are 100% affordable or exceed the City's Affordable Housing Ordinance requirements by providing more on-site affordable units or units that provide deeper levels of affordability, staff is recommending that one additional incentive/concession be provided. Some of the types of requests that could be realized from the additional concession include, but are not limited to:

- A reduction in the amount of commercial space required for mixed use projects;
- Increased building height near public transit areas, including Downtown; and
- A reduction in the amount of open space typically required.

The HHTF was generally supportive of allowing greater flexibility with the three examples noted above and believes this could help improve project feasibility.

## **Streamlined Density Bonus Process**

To apply for a density bonus under the City's current Ordinance, an applicant must submit a Density Bonus Application, along with a description of what is being requested, why the project qualifies, an administrative fee as specified in the Master Fee Schedule, and several other required documents. All Density Bonus applications in the City are reviewed and approved by the Planning Commission by default. However, the application moves to the Council if the project involves another permit or entitlement requiring Council approval, such as a rezoning or General Plan Amendment. In the latter case, the Planning Commission makes a recommendation to the Council regarding project approval or denial and adoption of any applicable CEQA documents, including a recommendation on the density bonus request. Staff proposes that applications under this newly recommended ordinance would be considered by the lowest approving body, which in straightforward cases may be an

administrative staff approval. Streamlining density bonus applications could save the developer and City time and money and it supports the goals identified in the two housing work plans adopted by Hayward by incentivizing housing production.

<u>Fee Waivers</u> (impact fees such as traffic and park fees). Council has already approved waiver of park fees and traffic impact fees for 100% affordable housing projects and reduction of fees for the on-site affordable housing units provided as part of a mixed-income project. Developers would not need to request this concession, but staff would promote this incentive to incentivize on-site affordable housing.

<u>Fee Deferral</u> (utility, sewer, water, and infrastructure connections). Although utility fee deferral is not part of the actual Municipal Code update staff is proposing, deferral of some utility connection fees would help off-set some upfront financial obligations that applicants are required to pay. Currently, utility connection fees are due prior to installation of the meter and are necessary for maintaining infrastructure. These fees could be deferred for the affordable units until issuance of certificate of occupancy and would be made a condition of project approval. Deferral of fees saves the developer the cost to finance the fees. Staff is currently working with the Public Works Department to determine if this remains a viable option and to ensure that risk of non-payment is minimized, but if supported by Council, staff would promote this option to developers in the event on-site affordable units are provided. Staff envisions this would be accomplished by requiring the developer to sign an agreement that would be recorded to title of the property along with a lien or deed of trust. This would prevent sale of the property prior to payment of the connection fee due to the City.

## STRATEGIC ROADMAP

In January 2020, the Council adopted six Strategic Priorities as part of its three-year Strategic Roadmap. This agenda item supports the Strategic Priority of Preserve, Protect and Produce Housing for all. Specifically, this item relates to the implementation of the following:

Project 4: Implement housing incentives and production work plan in accordance

to state housing limits

Project 4b: Amend Density Bonus Ordinance

#### FISCAL IMPACT

The budget for this project is \$75,000, which is covered and paid through a State Housing and Community Development SB2 Planning Grant awarded in 2019. At this time, staff does not anticipate any additional costs associated with this project or fiscal impacts to the City's General Fund.

### **PUBLIC CONTACT**

<u>Outreach to Housing Developers.</u> Revisions to the Density Bonus will only be effective if the proposed changes are useful to developers. In response to the Council and Planning Commission's direction, staff conducted outreach to twenty housing developers, including affordable/non-profit, and market-rate housing developers, which consisted of a survey with

the following seven questions emailed to the developers on May 6, 2022, with interviews scheduled from May 9, 2022, to May 18, 2022:

- What cost savings concessions would incentivize more affordable units, deeper affordability or some of the other City Priorities listed below?
- Would you like to have more concessions than is currently allowable under State Density Bonus Law?
- Do you have any experience in other cities using expedited entitlement process explain how that process works compared to our normal process?
- Would it be beneficial to have a density bonus that exceeds 50% for mixed-income projects?
- Do you have any examples of fee waiver, reduction, or deferral programs that have been implemented in other Cities?
- Would a Density Bonus encourage you to set aside 20% of the units for students?
- Would increase incentives improve your ability/desire to provide on childcare facility? If so, what would that look like?

Of the twenty housing developers, three affordable/non-profit housing developers and three market-rate developers responded to staff's survey and were interviewed. Depending on the type of developer (market-rate, affordable, non-profit), the challenges and suggestions for development of housing vary. A summary of the feedback received from those interviews is included as Attachment IV.

### **NEXT STEPS**

Following this work session, staff will finalize the draft Ordinance and complete the CEQA analysis before returning to the Planning Commission for additional feedback prior to presenting to the Council for final consideration later this year. Following adoption, staff will work with our consultant team to create two informational documents to aid developers and staff in processing these applications. The documents will include a developer checklist and an informational handout which can be updated, as needed, in response to changes to State law.

*Prepared by*: Jeremy Lochirco, Planning Manager

Christina Morales, Housing Division Manager

Rozalynne Thompson, Senior Planner

Recommended by: Jennifer Ott, Assistant City Manager/Development Services Director

Approved by:

Kelly McAdoo, City Manager