

DATE: April 20, 2021

TO: Mayor and City Council

FROM: Director of Finance

SUBJECT: Informational Report on Annual City Benefit Liabilities and Funding Plan

Review

RECOMMENDATION

That the Council accepts this report on the status of the City's benefit liabilities and current policies regarding funding the City's benefit liabilities.

SUMMARY

This report provides an update to the City's benefit liabilities and funding plans. The City actively manages its benefit liabilities and completes actuarial valuations for many of its long-term benefit liabilities on a regular basis. This report provides an overview of the balances and funding status of the City's benefit liabilities.

BACKGROUND

The City of Hayward, like all cities and municipal agencies, manages unfunded benefit liabilities as part of its financial picture. In 2013, staff began providing the Council Budget & Finance Committee and the City Council with an annual review of the City's benefit liabilities and funding plan for unfunded liabilities.

Unfunded liabilities are defined as identifiable obligations of an organization for which the organization does not have 100 percent of the funding (cash or other assets) set aside to cover the cost should all obligations become immediately and simultaneously due. Generally, an organization operates based on policies that attempt to find a responsible balance between funding the appropriate portion of these obligations, the associated risk that the unfunded portion of the obligations presents to the organization, and responsible and realistic management of the organization's resources.

Achieving this careful balance is considered the practical and responsible approach since payment demands of these obligations rarely, if ever, occur simultaneously. The alternative would be to fully fund the obligations, eliminating the liability for the present time, which can present challenges in meeting current operating expense obligations. In 2020, as recommended by the Committee and the Council, the City established a Section 115 irrevocable trust for the prepayment of retiree medical liabilities. Staff will continue to provide updates on the funding status of the City's unfunded liabilities on an ongoing basis.

In addition to reporting to Council each year regarding these benefit liabilities, a draft of this report was reviewed by the Council Budget & Finance Committee at their March 17, 2021 regular meeting.

DISCUSSION

The City actively manages its benefit liabilities and completes actuarial valuations for benefit liabilities except for accrued leave payouts (analysis conducted by staff). These valuations consider the economic, demographic, and historical compositions of the benefit programs and establish amounts that the City should set aside each year to fund its benefit-related financial obligations. It is critical that the City continue to manage and address its benefit liabilities to ensure long-term fiscal stability. Actuarial valuations identify the Annual Required Contribution (ARC) an agency should make toward the funding of the benefit and the liabilities. This is essentially the minimum funding amount that should be responsibly made by any organization. The ARC is generally comprised of two elements: a portion of funding for current costs (sometimes referred to as "pay go") and a portion of funding for future costs (the Unfunded Actuarial Liability or UAL).

As bond rating agencies review the City's debt, they actively consider the level of the City's unfunded benefit liabilities and the economic pressure this places on the City. Failure to meet the minimum recommended funding levels or implement a plan to achieve full funding of the ARC and/or a long-term plan to pay down the future liabilities could have a negative impact on future bond ratings – with a possible resultant increase in the cost of borrowing should the City seek to incur new debt or wish to finance existing debt.

Table 1 provides a summary of the City's benefit liabilities and current levels of funding based on the most recent actuarial valuations. Each of these benefit liabilities is unique in its structure and the degree of funding varies depending on the benefit.

Table 1: Summary of Benefit Liabilities (in millions)

(in millions)	Actuarial Valuation Date	=		Value of Assets		Funded Ratio	Unfunded Liability	Unfunded Ratio	
CalPERS Police Safety Plan	6/30/2019	\$	423.47	\$	254.65	60.1%	\$ 168.82	39.9%	
CalPERS Fire Safety Plan	6/30/2019	\$	319.41	\$	193.65	60.6%	\$ 125.76	39.4%	
CalPERS Miscellaneous Plan	6/30/2019	\$	489.72	\$	317.39	64.8%	\$ 172.33	35.2%	
Total Cal PERS		\$	1,232.60	\$	765.70	61.9%	\$ 466.91	38.1%	
OPEB - Retiree Medical Police Officers	6/30/2020	\$	37.30	\$	3.13	8.4%	\$ 34.17	91.6%	
OPEB - Retiree Medical Firefighters	6/30/2020	\$	17.09	\$	2.19	12.8%	\$ 14.89	87.2%	
OPEB - Retiree Medical Miscellaneous	6/30/2020	\$	23.36	\$	2.97	12.7%	\$ 20.39	87.3%	
Total OPEB-Retiree Medical		\$	77.75	\$	8.29	10.7%	\$ 69.46	89.3%	
Workers' Compensation	6/30/2019	\$	24.36	\$	19.53	80.2%	\$ 4.83	19.8%	
Accrued Leave Payouts	6/30/2020	\$	9.51	\$	-	0.0%	\$ 9.51	100%	
TOTAL		\$	1,344.22	\$	793.52	59.0%	\$ 550.71	41.0%	

Acceptable or Best Practice levels of funding vary by liability type. In general, funding these liabilities at a level in the 75 – 80 percent range is considered adequeate and sustainable.

California Public Employee Retirement System (CalPERS)

Current annual cost: \$49.9 million Unfunded Liability: \$466.91 million

Benefit Summary – CalPERS is a defined benefit pension plan funded by a combination of employee and employer contributions. The City's retirement benefit plans represent the largest benefit liability and CalPERS retirement rates continue to be one of the most significant citywide budgetary pressures. This same budgetary stress is felt by the State of California and the over 2,000 public entities statewide that contract with the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) for pension benefits. When CalPERS performs its actuarial analysis, it uses data from previous fiscal years; for example, the employer rates for Fiscal Year 2021 are based on data as of June 30, 2019.

The City contributes to three plans: Police Safety Plan; Fire Safety Plan; and Miscellaneous Employee Plan (all non-sworn employees). All full-time and part-time benefited employees are required to participate in CalPERS. The three plans are independent of one another with different contract plan amendments negotiated over the years through the collective bargaining process. Assets and liabilities of each plan are segregated with no cross subsidization from one plan to another.

<u>CalPERS Retirement Rates</u> – The cost of the retirement plans is broken into Employee Contribution rates (fixed) and Employer Contribution rates (variable). Both rates are a percent of projected payroll. The Employee Contribution is fixed and is based on the pension plan formula (generally 9 percent for public safety plans and 7 percent or 8 percent for miscellaneous plans). The Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013 (PEPRA) introduced new benefit formulas effective January 1, 2013 that affect new employees to the City that have not previously been part of the CalPERS system. While there is little immediate financial benefit to the City with this "two-tiered" system, the long-term benefit of lower retiree costs may be significant.

Many of the City's bargaining groups contribute beyond the CalPERS defined Employee Contribution portion and "pick-up" a portion of the Employer Contribution: 6 percent for sworn police and fire personnel and between 3-5 percent for all non-sworn personnel (pursuant to bargaining unit agreements). The Employer rates displayed in Table 1 represent the full employer cost according to and as assessed by CalPERS, this rate does not reflect the negotiated cost-sharing "pick-up" agreements, as these agreements do not affect the overall cost of CalPERS.

Over the last several years, the CalPERS Board of Administration has considered and adopted several rate methodology changes that directly impact the retirement rates that cities pay (employer contribution rates). Each of these changes is effective in different fiscal years, with varying phase-in schedules. While these changes significantly increase our current retirement costs, they are intended to stabilize the long-term sustainability of CalPERS retirement plans, helping cities in the long-run. Many of these changes should have been implemented long ago by CalPERS.

- 1. <u>March 2012 Change (effective FY 2014, two-year phase-in through FY 2015):</u> In March 2012, the CalPERS Board acted to reduce the assumed rate of investment return from 7.75 percent to 7.5 percent. The employer rate impact from this action was effective FY 2014, with a two-year phase-in, and a full rate impact by FY 2015. The actual rate impact resulting from this change ranged from 3 5 percent of payroll depending on the plan type.
- 2. <u>April 2013 Change (effective FY 2016, five-year phase-in through FY 2020)</u>: On April 17, 2013, the CalPERS Board adopted significant rate methodology changes that directly impacted employer rates starting in FY 2016, phased in over five years, with the full impact being felt this fiscal year, FY 2020. The anticipated rate impact resulting from this change is approximately 2–5 percent of payroll by this fiscal year, FY 2020. These actuarial changes are designed to boost funding levels and make employer rates more predictable in the long-run:
 - ✓ Shorter smoothing period¹ and shorter amortization period for gains/losses
 - ✓ Closed instead of rolling thirty-year amortization
 - ✓ Use market value of assets to determine rates²
- 3. February 2014 Change (effective FY 2017, five-year phase-in through FY 2021): On February 18, 2014, the CalPERS Board adopted additional rate methodology changes. While the Board voted to retain its current long-term assumed rate of return at 7.5 percent, they did adopt actuarial changes to assumed mortality rates. The new mortality assumptions will cost local agencies an average of 6–9 percent of payroll for safety classifications and 3–5 percent of payroll for miscellaneous employees by year five of the phase-in (FY 2021). Some municipal officials believe these estimates may be low because of the continued decline in the local government workforce in many cities, reducing the number of active employees contributing to CalPERS.
- 4. <u>November 2015 Funding Risk Mitigation Policy</u>: The CalPERS Board recently adopted a funding risk mitigation policy that will incrementally lower the discount rate in years of good investment returns, help pay down the pension fund's unfunded liability, and provide greater predictability and less volatility in contribution rates for employers. Under the policy, a mechanism will be established to reduce the discount rate or

¹ "Smoothing" and "Closed vs. Rolling Amortization" go hand in hand. Smoothing refers to the method by CalPERS plans to address the unpredictability of investment income and the impact that unpredictability has on employer rates. The revised "smoothing" plan determines the rate increase needed to reach a funding level of 100 percent in 30 years, phase in the rate increase over five years, and then to maintain those rates as steadily as possible or even lower them. In the past, CalPERS employed an amortization and smoothing policy that spread investment returns over a 15-year period with the actual gains and losses experienced by the investment pool paid for over a rolling 30-year period. With the current change, CalPERS will employ an amortization and smoothing policy that will pay for all gains and losses over a fixed 30-year period with the increases or decreases in the rate spread directly a five-year period.

² CalPERS has traditionally used the actuarial value of their investments in their financial calculations and rate projections (i.e., the investment assets fluctuate in value from one day to the next, so the administrators calculate an average value for the assets, over a given period of time, or the "actuarial value"). In accordance with new FY 2015 GASB provisions, CalPERS will only use "market value," which is using the actual value of the investment assets as determined by the market and adjusting the value up or down accordingly.

assumed rate of return - by a minimum of 0.05 percentage points to a maximum of 0.25 percentage points in years when investment returns outperform the existing discount rate, currently 7.5 percent, by at least four percentage points. The four-percentage point threshold would work to offset increases to employer contribution rates that would otherwise increase when the discount rate is lowered, and help pay down CalPERS' unfunded liability.

CalPERS staff modeling anticipates the policy will result in a lowering of the expected portfolio volatility to 8 percent in about 21 years, improve funding levels gradually over time, and cut risk in the system by lowering the volatility of investment returns. While rates are expected to increase for CalPERS employers in the future, the policy is designed to minimize any increases above projected rates.

5. <u>December 2016 Lowering of Discount Rate (effective FY 2018, three-year phase-in through FY 2020)</u>: The CalPERS Board recently lowered the discount rate from 7.5% to 7.0%. Lowering the discount rate, also known as the assumed rate of return, means employers that contract with CalPERS to administer their pension plans will see increases in their normal costs and unfunded actuarial liabilities. Active members hired after January 1, 2013, under the Public Employees' Pension Reform Act will also see their contribution rates rise.

The most recent actuarial valuations provided to the City of Hayward by CalPERS in August 2016 reflect the final rates for FY 2018; however, these rates did not incorporate the change to discount rates, which resulted in FY 2018 rates increasing over FY 2017 rates by 1.0–8 percent of payroll. The above noted change to the assumed discount rates impacts the City's required contributions significantly in the coming years. The City's revised rates (combined average for all groups) will increase from 37.1% in FY 2018 to 49.0% in FY 2023 as currently projected by CalPERS.

6. <u>February 2018 Change to Amortization Period for New Members</u>: The CalPERS Board recently voted to shorten the period over which actuarial gains and losses are amortized from 30 years to 20 years for all new members. The change in amortization period will speed up the rate of debt payments to CalPERS, and likely increase cities' annual pension costs. The new policy will become effective as of the June 30, 2019 actuarial valuations, with the first payments due in FY 2021.

Estimated Rate Impacts and Projected Normal Cost Increases:

The new valuations the City received reflect rate projections that include all of the rate actions taken by the CalPERS Board to date. Table 2 provides a detailed summary of what the City's projected CalPERS employer rates and normal costs (employer contribution) would be based on CalPERS recent change to the discount rate from 7.5% to 7%. Per the CalPERS rate projections, by FY 2025, rates for Miscellaneous Plans are estimated to be 38% percent of payroll, rates for Police Safety Plans are projected to be 69.0 percent of payroll, and Fire Safety Plans are projected to be 69.9 percent of payroll. During the five-year period from FY 2020 through FY 2024, the City will see its contributions for the Miscellaneous plan increase by \$5.8 million, by \$3.9 million for the Police plan, and by \$4.1 million for the Fire plan.

Table 2: CalPERS Rate Comparison & Growth

(in the \$1,000s)	FY	72021	FY	7 2022	F	Y 2023	F	Y 2024	FY	72025	F	Y 2026	F	2027
Miscellaneous														
Employer Contribution (\$)	\$	16,813	\$	18,269	\$	19,460	\$	20,959	\$	21,093	\$	21,592	\$	22,008
Change over Prior Year	\$	1,651	\$	1,455	\$	1,191	\$	1,499	\$	133	\$	499	\$	416
Employer Contribution Rate (%)		33.7%		35.9%		37.2%		37.7%		38.3%		38.1%		37.8%
Change over Prior Year		1.2%		2.2%		1.3%		0.5%		0.6%		-0.2%		-0.3%
Police														
Employer Contribution (\$)	\$	16,816	\$	18,323	\$	19,311	\$	20,004	\$	20,766	\$	21,175	\$	21,559
Change over Prior Year	\$	727	\$	1,506	\$	988	\$	693	\$	763	\$	408	\$	384
Employer Contribution Rate (%)		65.6%		66.8%		68.5%		69.1%		69.8%		69.2%		68.6%
Change over Prior Year		7.1%		1.2%		1.7%		0.6%		0.7%		-0.6%		-0.6%
Fire														
Employer Contribution (\$)	\$	11,789	\$	13,264	\$	14,089	\$	14,606	\$	15,118	\$	15,448	\$	15,761
Change over Prior Year	\$	1,303	\$	1,475	\$	825	\$	518	\$	512	\$	330	\$	313
Employer Contribution Rate (%)		57.9%		61.0%		63.0%		63.7%		64.1%		63.7%		63.3%
Change over Prior Year		3.7%		3.1%		2.0%		0.7%		0.4%		-0.4%		-0.4%

Funding Status & Plan

The City is fully meeting its annual required contribution (ARC) amounts based on the CalPERS premium rates. Given the new CalPERS "smoothing" methodology, the long-term intent is to fund the City's liability over the 30-year amortization period.

Additionally, in calendar 2020, the City established a Section 115 Irrevocable Trust with CalPERS – a California Employers' Pension Prefunding Trust (CEPPT) as recommended by both the Committee and the full Council. The trust was established as a means to deposit additional funds towards pension obligations as they become available to the City. The funds are then placed in the trust to offset expenses related to future payments and to reduce future liabilities.

Retiree Medical (OPEB)

Annual Required Contribution (ARC): \$7.5 million Unfunded Liability: \$69.46 million

Components of OPEB \$7.5 million ARC:

\$3.2 million: Current Retirees ("pay as you go") \$4.3 million: Unfunded Actuarial Liability

The retiree medical benefit represents the second largest benefit liability, and is the most significantly underfunded of the City's benefit liabilities. By City Council resolution – and as agreed to with some bargaining groups – the City provides certain health care benefits for employees who retire directly from the City with at least five years of City service (most bargaining groups require ten years of service) and who are vested in the California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS). The City participates in the CalPERS health care plan, which is governed under the California Public Employees Health and Medical Care Act (PEMCHA).

The City contributes a fixed dollar amount for retiree medical benefits for all employees, except sworn police employees hired before June 12, 2012 (rate tied to Kaiser plan rates), with amounts varying by employee bargaining group and coverage level as governed by PEMCHA. Benefits continue for surviving spouses in amounts as required by PEMCHA. As of June 30, 2019, approximately 706 retirees were eligible and were receiving retiree health care benefits from the City at an annual cost of about \$3.2 million, which is the "pay as you go" amount the City currently pays.

There are approximately 821 active employees that may be eligible to receive health care benefits upon retirement. This group of employees represents the number of eligible, current employees and it is the City's current maximum exposure; it does not necessarily mean all of these employees will either retire with the City or ultimately meet the requirements for receiving this benefit. Similar to the CalPERS retirement plan, the increased life longevity of retirees places a stress on the benefit. The updated actuarial valuation of our local Plan will consider these impacts within its analysis.

Funding Status & Plan

The current ARC was determined as part of a July 2020 actuarial valuation (as of June 30, 2019) by MacLeod Watts, the City's OPEB Actuary. This valuation analysis considered benefits that are expected to be earned in the future as well as those already accrued, and is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) to be completed every two years; the most recent actuarial report was completed in July 2020.

The City's OPEB unfunded actuarial accrued liability is amortized as a level percentage of projected payroll using a closed thirty-year amortization period that has twenty years remaining as of the latest valuation date. The minimum funding target is the ARC, currently estimated at \$7.5 million annually. Pursuant to City Council direction, the City began contributing toward the cost of the UAL both through direct City contributions, as well as employee contributions pursuant to some bargaining unit agreements. Payments for both components of the ARC are built into payroll to spread the cost appropriately across all City funding sources. Additional voluntary contributions towards the ARC were made in FY 2014 of \$1 million and FY 2015 of \$2 million; however, in an effort to reduce the use of reserves in FY 2016 and FY 2017, additional voluntary contributions were not made. In FY 2018, the City to reinstated its practice of contributions toward the ARC, in the amount of \$1 million, with additional voluntary contributions in the amount of \$2 million for FY 2019 and \$2.5 million in FY 2020. The City had anticipated making a significant voluntary contribution of \$4.0 million in FY 2021; however, due to fiscal uncertainties brought on by the COVID-19 pandemic, Council recommended a reduced total contribution of \$1 million during budget adoption. During the FY 2021 Mid-Year Review, Council recommended an additional \$1.0 million contribution, for a total contribution of \$2.0 million in FY 2021. Council is committed to annual payment of the City's full ARC beginning in FY 2022.

During labor negotiations in 2017, two employee groups agreed to contribute funding to an irrevocable trust to fund unfunded retiree medical obligations. IAFF Local 1909 recognized the continued need to fund retiree medical, and agreed that employees represented by Local 1909 would continue to contribute one percent (1%) of base salary (excluding all special pays, allowances and overtime), to an irrevocable trust to fund the unfunded retiree medical

obligations. The contribution made by employees to the trust fund shall be exclusively allocated for the expense of retiree healthcare of its members. Hayward Police Officers Association (HPOA) agreed that employees represented by HPOA would begin contributing one percent (1%) of base salary (excluding all special pays, allowances and overtime) effective July1, 2018, to an irrevocable trust to fund the unfunded retiree medical obligations for their members.

The City's General Fund Long Range Financial Model (Model) includes phasing this cost in until the full ARC payment is achieved. Pursuant to the valuation, if the City fully funded the ARC, the City would pay for current costs and fund the future liability by the end of the amortization period. The phase-in of costs included in the Model will allow the City to fully fund the ARC by FY 2022.

Workers' Compensation

Current annual cost: \$5.18 million Unfunded Liability: \$4.83 million

The City is self-funded for Workers' Compensation and began its program on July 1, 1975. While the City fully funds present day costs, it does not fully fund future liability. Payments are made to the Workers' Compensation Self-Insurance Fund by transfers from all City funds through established rates assessed against payroll pursuant to classification type. The amount of payments made by the City into the Workers' Compensation Self Insurance Fund is determined by an actuarial analysis conducted by an outside actuary (Bickmore). These accruals represent estimates of amounts to ultimately be paid for reported claims, past experience, recent claim settlement trends, and other information. Funds are available to pay claims and administrative costs of the program on a pay-as-you-go basis.

It is important to understand that payments on indemnity claims may be made over a very long period of years. Indemnity claims are those in which future medical care is projected to be needed for the injured worker and the cost is largely dependent on the type and severity of the injury, as well as whether or not the claimant is a sworn employee.

Funding Status & Plan

Pursuant to the current actuarial valuation conducted for the program, a funding status of 70–85 percent is recommended. Table 1 shows that the City is currently at a 80.2 percent funding level. Workers' Compensation rates charged against live payroll include a component of cost toward unfunded liability. Since the City has achieved funding level above 80 percent, the Workers' Compensation rates will be adjusted downward in future years.

Accrued Leave Payouts (Compensated Absences)

Current annual cost: varies
Unfunded Liability: \$9.51 million

It is the City's policy to permit employees to accumulate earned but unused vacation and sick leave benefits. The City records the cost of vacation and sick leave as "earned." Earned vacation and sick leave that is taken during the year is payable from the fund(s) to which the employee's salary or wage is charged. When an employee retires or otherwise leaves the City,

vacation balances are paid out to the employee, and in some cases, some of the accumulated sick leave is also paid out (pursuant to bargaining unit agreements). These payouts are paid through a department's budget from vacancy salary savings – and are not specifically budgeted for as a separate line item.

Funding Status & Plan

For several years, the City had lowered this liability over its all time high in FY 2012. However over the last few years, the City has begun to realize increases to the accured leave liability year over year. The steady change is attributed to an increase in the number of employees who have been employed with the City of Hayward for five or more years, accruing more leave and increasing the City's liability. The total liability as of FY 2020 is \$9.5 million, an increase of \$1.2 million over the prior fiscal year.

Table 3: Accrued Leave Liability History

	Unfunded Liability	Change from Prior Year					
FY 2009	\$7,477,214	(\$208,747)					
FY 2010	\$9,250,970	\$1,773,756					
FY 2011	\$10,497,994	\$1,247,024					
FY 2012	\$10,701,569	\$203,575					
FY 2013	\$8,589,354	(\$2,112,215)					
FY 2014	\$7,230,041	(\$1,359,313)					
FY 2015	\$7,104,541	(\$125,500)					
FY 2016	\$7,660,340	\$555,799					
FY 2017	\$7,894,439	\$234,099					
FY 2018	\$7,913,945	\$19,506					
FY 2019	\$8,347,418	\$433,473					
FY 2020	\$9,511,174	\$1,163,756					

Accrued Leave payouts are currently absorbed within each department's budget appropriation. In practice, the salary savings achieved through normal attrition and the vacancy created by the exiting employee cover the cost of these payouts. In general, departments have had enough salary savings to accommodate this cost.

A possibility to further fund this liability is to build a funding mechanism into payroll as a component of the fringe benefit rate (e.g., 1% of payroll for non-sworn and 2% of payroll for sworn positions). While this might provide a segregated funding source that would prevent spikes to department payroll budgets for large payouts, it does increase the overall City payroll budget. Given the City's fiscal challenges, it does not seem a prudent use of City resources at this time to add this cost. Staff recommends continuing to actively manage employee leave balances in order to lower the overall long-term liability.

NEXT STEPS

Staff will continue to actively manage benefit liabilities and report annually to the Committee and the Council on the funding status of these benefit liabilities, including review of existing Council policies regarding funding.

Prepared by:

Rick Rivera, Management Analyst I Nicole Gonzales, Deputy Direcor of Finance

Recommended by: Dustin Claussen, Director of Finance

Approved by:

Kelly McAdoo, City Manager