

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING
JUNE 1, 2021**

**DOCUMENTS RECEIVED
AFTER PUBLISHED AGENDA**

AGENDA QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

Items 3, 6, 8, 11 & 13

AGENDA QUESTIONS & ANSWERS**MEETING DATE: June 1, 2021****Item #3 [CONS 21-263](#): Adopt a Resolution of Intention to Preliminarily Approve the Engineer's Report and Assessments for Fiscal Year 2022; and Set June 22, 2020 as the Public Hearing Date for Such Actions for Consolidated Landscaping and Lighting Assessment District No. 96-1, Zones 1-18**

Would you please clarify the increased water and one-time maintenance costs for Zone 1 Huntwood & Panjon and Zone 5 Plum St?

We have budgeted one-time project funds to provide the assessment district with a refresher. The refresher will provide additional plant material, new bark mulch, and irrigation repair. With the new plant material and repaired irrigation, we also have budgeted for increased water usage, as the water usage has been very low in the past.

Would you please clarify the increased one-time maintenance costs for Zone 6 - Peppertree, Zone 7 - Twin Bridges, and Zone 16 - Blackstone?

We have budgeted one-time project funds to provide the assessment district with a refresher. The refresher will provide additional plant material, new bark mulch, and irrigation repair.

Would you please clarify the increased water, PG&E, and landscape maintenance costs for Zone 14 - La Vista?

Prior to FY 2021, the City had not yet accepted the landscaping for the district. During that time, the developer was responsible for paying for operation and maintenance (O&M) costs. In FY 2021, the City planned for and paid for six months of O&M costs after the City accepted the area from the developer in January 2021. For FY 2022 going forward, the LLAD will be responsible for annual O&M.

Item #6 [CONS 21 278](#): Adopt a Resolution Approving Plans and Specifications, and Call for Bids for the Municipal Parking Lot No. 2 Improvement Project No. 05248

Improvements to parking lot #2 What has been the engagement with the downtown merchants regarding the scheduled improvements? Any concerns raised?

Staff has reached out to Geoff Harries, the owner of Buffalo Bill's and explained the project of which he had no concerns. In the past when a re-paving project of Muni Lot No 2 was scheduled, staff met with the affected business owners and only Geoff Harris raised concerns. If the project is approved by Council, staff will reach out to all the affected business owners prior to awarding the construction contract and then again before the construction begins. The construction will be performed in phases where 1/3 of the parking lot is paved and 2/3 (107 parking spaces) of the parking lot is available for use. Once the construction contract is awarded, an updated schedule will be issued to affected businesses. Staff does not anticipate conflict with the public or businesses during construction.

Item #8 [CONS 21-287](#): Adopt a Resolution Awarding a Contract to Teichert Construction for the FY21 Pavement Improvement Project, Project No. 05227, 05236, 05238, 05295, 05297, in the Amount of \$10,538,547, and Approving an Administrative Change Order Budget in the Amount of \$861,453

I don't see Winton, which is in dire need. Where is Winton in priority for paving?

The paving of Winton Ave. between Hesperian and Santa Clara is a separate project from the Annual Pavement Project. This project is funding by OBAG, which is Federal funding so approval from Caltrans is needed before bids are

	solicited. We are anticipating to bring the project to Council for approval of plans and specifications and call for bids in July of this year and construction to begin in August or September.
Item #11 WS 21-030: FY22 and FY23 Water and Sewer Rates: Review and Provide Feedback on Recommended FY 2022 and FY 2023 Water and Sewer Rates (Report from Public Works Director Ameri)	
Water and Sewer rates (Thank you for the thoughtful considerations in this item) Please remind me, why is there a monthly charge for fire service?	Monthly charge is to pay for fixed costs such as meter reading, billing, and other expenses that are unrelated to consumption.
Item #13 LB 21-019: Strategic Roadmap: Adoption of Updated FY21-23 Strategic Roadmap (Report from Assistant City Manager Ott)	
Page 3 of 18, Item 1. Please shift the language to person first. "Sustain the Navigation Center to House and Support the Homeless" ...Support People who are homeless.	This project name can be changed to the following: " Support and House the Homeless by Sustaining the Navigation Center."
Page 10 of 18, Item 10a: "Conduct a site and cost analysis of a new Police building" Are we still considering a new police building or is it something along the lines of consider police department facility needs?	City staff recommends maintaining this project in the Roadmap document, as conversations about ways to address the deficiencies in the Police Building will be ongoing as part of the stimulus funding and Public Safety policy workshop discussions.

eCOMMENTS RECEIVED

Items 5 & 6

eComments received for June 1, 2021 Hayward City Council Meeting:

Item	Name	Comment	Position
5. CONS 21-248 Adopt a Resolution Rejecting All Bids, Approving Revision of Plans and Specifications, and Calling for Bids for the Mission Boulevard Corridor Improvements Phase 3 Project, Project No. 05287	Steven Dunbar	I believe Mission Boulevard Phase 3 will be beautiful. Consistent with my other line of questioning, I again point out that the city is having to go find \$5M to finish this project from other funds, even after taking away good features like trench drains. I know transportation money is not fungible to any project, but I wanted to put that \$5M in context.	Support
6. CONS 21-278 Adopt a Resolution Approving Plans and Specifications, and Call for Bids for the Municipal Parking Lot No. 2 Improvement Project No. 05248	Steven Dunbar	Per my comments on the Main Street redesign, adding improvements such as these to the nearby parking lots should help encourage the businesses to park their vehicles in the municipal lots, leaving the high value parking on Main Street for customers and deliveries. As such, I strongly support this action and hope it is of the highest quality.	Support

PUBLIC COMMENTS

From: Lacei Amodei
Sent: Monday, May 31, 2021 6:29 PM
To: List-Mayor-Council
Cc: Mary Thomas; Daniel Mao; Kelly McAdoo; Laurel James; Nicholas Mullins; Monica Davis; Rosalinda Romero; Terence Candell; The Hayward Collective
Subject: FY 2022 Budget & Policy Innovation Workshop Policies as Adopted on May 18, 2021
Attachments: Response to FY 2022 Budget & Policy Innovation Recommendations- THC.pdf

CAUTION: This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

To: Mayor Halliday, Councilmembers, and City Staff,

Please see the attached comment letter on behalf of The Hayward Collective (THC). Synopsis of letter below:

We write to:

- **Express our gratitude for**
- **this small step in the right direction.**
- **Extend our cautious praise**
- **for the mental health responders pilot program.**
- **Emphasize the importance**
- **that MHRPP remains independent and also that the mental health clinicians working alongside police officers have a degree of independence.**
- **Emphasize the importance**
- **that those positions and/or programs reporting to the Fire Department must be listed as budget line items within Fire's budget.**
- **Express our support for evaluating**
- **the Community Advisory Panel and exploring other models, and urge that this be prioritized over the other policies that have been scheduled to return to Council in 12-18 months.**
- **Reiterate our deep concern**
- **for Policy Recommendation #20 'Mental Health Registry', and urge you, if this must go forward, to consult with subject matter experts with established reputation for expertise in disability justice, public health, and equity.**
- **Implore you to ensure openness,**
- **transparency, and better information sharing with the public moving forward regarding the development and implementation of the policies.**
- **Highlight the need to do**
- **better in centering and uplifting the voices of those actually impacted moving forward and to weave in principles of equity throughout the process.**

Kind regards,
Lacei Amodei
THC Policy Advocate



May 31, 2021

RE: FY 2022 Budget & Policy Innovation Workshop Recommendations as Adopted May 18, 2021

Dear Mayor Halliday, Councilmembers, and City Staff,

We write to:

- **Express our gratitude for this small step in the right direction.**
- **Extend our cautious praise for the Mobile Mental Health Response Team (MMHRT) pilot program.**
- **Emphasize the importance that MMHRT remains independent and also that the mental health clinicians slated to work alongside police officers have a degree of independence.**
- **Emphasize the importance that those positions and/or programs reporting to the Fire Department must be listed as budget line items within Fire's budget.**
- **Express our support for evaluating the Community Advisory Panel and exploring other models, and urge that this be prioritized and return to Council sooner than the scheduled 12 months.**
- **Reiterate our deep concern for Policy Recommendation #20 'Mental Health Registry', and urge you, if this must go forward, to consult with subject matter experts with established reputation for expertise in disability justice, public health, and equity.**
- **Implore you to ensure openness, transparency, and better information sharing with the public moving forward regarding the development and implementation of the policies.**
- **Highlight the need to do better in centering and uplifting the voices of those actually impacted moving forward and to weave in principles of equity throughout the process.**

We thank you all for what seems at this time to be a small step in the right direction concerning the Policy and Innovation Workshop policies as adopted on May 18th. Thank you to everyone, especially staff, Policy Innovation Workshop Participants, and community members whose work and dedication made this possible.

In particular, the Mobile Mental Health Response Team (MMHRT) is a gain for the entire community and, depending on implementation details, could be a major accomplishment for our City. It has taken a long time, but **one of the key policy proposals that the family of Agustin Gonzalez made in 2019 is finally coming to fruition.** Thank you to everyone who has picked up **the torch that the Gonzalez family began and with great sacrifice carried forward for you all to help lift up.** We are stronger as a community when we listen to those most impacted. Also, Council took the right approach when deprioritizing the law enforcement internship position and in requiring that the mental health clinicians report to Fire.



Concerns Regarding Policy

MMHRT

Mental health crisis teams are valuable and innovative. They not only offer an acutely needed service but, importantly, they also offer a law enforcement public safety alternative. To provide this value and innovation to the community, **it is essential that this pilot team respond to non-violent mental health calls without the presence of police** as is the practice with the oft-mentioned CAHOOTS program out of Eugene, Oregon and other programs. CAHOOTS reported that in 2019, **out of about 24,000 calls, police backup was requested only 150 times**. Moreover, in 2017, the CAHOOTS teams answered 17% of the Eugene Police Department's overall call volume.¹ We urge you to seriously explore the CAHOOTS model and urge you to take advantage of the CAHOOTS consultation services.²

We appreciate the recommendation that the MMHRT pilot program, and other mental health related policies, be funded using police officer vacancy savings since this properly fits with the general spirit of reimagining public safety. We, however, urge Council to place all budget line items of new positions and programs reporting to the Fire Department within the Fire Department's budget to show a good faith gesture towards the community that these efforts are truly intended to reimagine public safety.

Mental Health Clinicians Working with Police Officers

We also urge the implementation team to consider establishing policies that ensure the mental health clinicians set to work alongside police officers have a degree of independence from the Police Department, so they will be unconstrained in their ability to use their best practices and to be consistent with their reporting to Fire rather than to PD.

Exploring Alternative Models to the Community Advisory Panel

We are encouraged to see the recommendation that the Community Advisory Panel be evaluated and other models explored. However, it was disappointing to see this high priority item be given twelve months before it returns again to Council. **We agree with Mayor Halliday's comments from May 18th that CAP must be transformed into something stronger, and we highlight that there cannot be progress towards improving relations between the Police Department and the community if there is no commitment for real accountability.**

The Mental Health Registry

As we communicated to you on April 26th, we are deeply concerned by this policy recommendation and strongly caution against it. However, if this recommendation must go forward, we urge you to, at the very least, consult with organizations reputable in the fields of disability justice, public health, and equity and to take their advice with the utmost of seriousness.

¹ <https://whitebirdclinic.org/what-is-cahoots/>

² "White Bird's CAHOOTS provides consulting and strategic guidance to communities across the nation that are seeking to replicate CAHOOTS' model. Contact us if you are interested in our consultation services program." <https://whitebirdclinic.org/what-is-cahoots/>



Concerns Regarding Process

We Need More Transparency and Better Information Sharing With the Public

We appreciate the reality that the community engagement project was involved, complicated, and entirely new. **We, however, hope you will take into consideration the need for improved transparency, openness, and access to information for the public.** It seems that many details are yet to be fleshed out and there will be many moving pieces. We urge you to make this policy development and programming process open and accessible to the general public. We found that **it was difficult to know about the Policy Innovation Workshop work since most of the substantial discussions were happening off camera out of the public view with no record to consult regarding those discussions.**

It was also difficult to understand the final recommendations as many details were either yet to be developed or had been developed but not clearly included in staff reports. Even for those who closely followed this process and frequently communicated with staff, there were many informational holes. **Please make the process more open to the public as it seems that a substantial portion of policy that will have a serious impact in the community's everyday life will be developed in the implementation stage.**

Need to do a better job centering impacted community members and allowing them agency in process

Although there was a recognition that the most impacted demographic group was residents of color under 30, there was a lack of centering voices of those that had been *actually* impacted. In order to center and uplift those voices, they need to represent a significant portion of those providing feedback during the implementation stage. Following principles of equity, those voices must be given more weight than those who have not been impacted. Lastly, the City must reconcile the need to include the Police Department in the process with the need to equitably include impacted voices. This may mean creating a separate space exclusively for impacted residents, so they can freely and comfortably voice their opinion.

Reputable Equity Organizations Must be Consulted

We are fortunate to have many organizations devoted to equity advocacy. These organizations have developed a unique and refined expertise on these matters over many years. Groups such as Ella Baker Center, Policy Link, Urban Peace Movement, and Anti-Police Terror Project all have the particular subject matter expertise that has so far been missing from this process. We need to hear input from some of these organizations, and their input must be included in a transparent and public way.

Thank you for considering our comments. We look forward to working with you on continuing to reimagine public safety in Hayward.

Sincerely,

Lacei Amodei

Member and Policy Advocate, The Hayward Collective

ITEM #15 PH 21-042

**FY 2022 Budget/CIP Public Hearing and Adoption:
Public Hearing for the Proposed FY 2022 Operating
Budgets for the City of Hayward, Hayward
Redevelopment Successor Agency, and Hayward
Housing Authority; FY 2022 Capital Improvement
Program Budget; Adoption of the FY 2022 Operating
Budgets and Appropriations for FY 2022; Adoption of
the FY 2022 Capital Improvement Program Budget
and Appropriations for FY 2022; Adoption of the
Hayward Redevelopment Successor Agency Budget;
and Adoption of the Hayward Housing Authority
Budget (Report from Director of Finance Claussen)**

PUBLIC COMMENTS

From: Velda Goe
Sent: Tuesday, June 1, 2021 2:48 PM
To: Kelly McAdoo
Cc: List-Mayor-Council; Alex Ameri; George Drapeau; Savitha Moorthy - 91 neighbor; Sherry Bruno; Patty Burton; Richard Williams; Brenda Senturia; Kathy and Chuck Spayne - 91 Neighbor; Paul Wong - 91 Neighbor; Miriam Lens; Rishi Gogna
Subject: PUBLIC COMMENT: JUNE 1, 2021 AGENDA ITEM: Vote on FY 2022 Budget - Opposition and Request for Campus Drive Inclusion in the FY2022 Budget and as it Relates to the City of Hayward 5 Year Plan and CIP Plan
Attachments: image001.png

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

To Councilmembers, Mayor, Hayward Administrative Staff et al.:

Request that this email and the related thread below to be included for Discussion and Consideration of AGENDA ITEM FY 2022 BUDGET APPROVAL during City Council Meeting of June 1, 2021.

As:

a budget item or amount for Campus Drive traffic/speeding mitigation is not specifically identified in the FY 2022 Budget to be approved,

but, as:

we have been informed that monies to hire a Traffic Consultant to conduct a study on Campus Drive is included within the FY 2022 Budget for approval, please identify where it is in the Budget and for what amount.

Also as:

we have been informed that the fate of traffic/speeding mitigation on Campus Drive will be largely determined by the outcome of the findings of the Traffic Consultant to be hired specifically for this purpose

it is requested herein that:

the Draft RFP for the Traffic Consultant can be made available to the University Ct-Campus Neighborhood Assn (UCNA) for comment and input prior to its' finalization and release

and that:

Velda Goe be allowed to participate in the interview and selection process for the Traffic Consultant to be hired; based on qualifications of being a UCNA resident, as well as having over 25 years of experience as a project manager for multi-million dollar housing projects; the duties of which included writing RFPs; being part of the interview panel and voting for final selections as part of the procurement process for Alameda County (HACA), Oakland (OHA), as well as for major Bay Area General Contractors.

Also,

should the Traffic Consultant's findings reveal the need for traffic speeding mitigation on Campus Drive and having been informed by Councilmember that funding is a problem, yet simultaneously being informed by Hayward Administrative Staff that required funding would be requested from City Council - please advise if there is another unidentified funding source within the FY2022 Budget to be approved from whence the funding will be obtained.

Respectfully,

Velda Goe

On Tue, Jun 1, 2021, 8:43 AM Kelly McAdoo <Kelly.McAdoo@hayward-ca.gov> wrote:

The consultant would make recommendations and we would present them to Council for discussion and decision.

Kelly McAdoo

City Manager

City of Hayward | 777 B Street | Hayward, CA 94541

☎ Phone: 510.583.4305 | Fax: 510-583-3601 | * Email: kelly.mcadoo@hayward-ca.gov

CAUTION: This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Good morning Kelly and et al.:

Thank you for your clarification.

So would all proffered solutions, especially like the 'Campus Gateway' arch, be decided by a Traffic Consultant? Seems like a City Council discussion?

Regards,

Velda Goe

On Tue, Jun 1, 2021, 8:20 AM Kelly McAdoo <Kelly.McAdoo@hayward-ca.gov> wrote:

Good morning Velda-

The funds for the Campus Drive evaluation are already included in this year's budget. Staff does not need to request additional funding. This is why you don't specifically see it called out in the budget documents. If the evaluation suggests that additional improvements are needed, we would return to Council at that time for a funding request.

Kelly

Kelly McAdoo

City Manager

City of Hayward | 777 B Street | Hayward, CA 94541

☎ Phone: 510.583.4305 | Fax: 510-583-3601 | * Email: kelly.mcadoo@hayward-ca.gov

From: Velda Goe
Sent: Monday, May 31, 2021 5:02 PM
To: List-Mayor-Council <List-Mayor-Council@hayward-ca.gov>
Cc: Kelly McAdoo <Kelly.McAdoo@hayward-ca.gov>; Alex Ameri <Alex.Ameri@hayward-ca.gov>; George Drapeau Savitha Moorthy - 91 neighbor; Sherry Bruno ; Patty Burton; Richard Williams; Brenda Senturia; Kathy and Chuck Spayne - 91 Neighbor; Paul Wong - 91 Neighbor; Rishi Gogna; Miriam Lens <Miriam.Lens@hayward-ca.gov>
Subject: JUNE 1, 2021 AGENDA ITEM: Vote on FY 2022 Budget - Opposition and Request for Campus Drive Inclusion in the FY2022 Budget and as it Relates to the City of Hayward 5 Year Plan and CIP Plan

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Greetings City Councilmembers, Mayor, City Administrators and et al.:

After reviewing the Proposed FY 2022 Budget and the CIP budget, I do not see any allocation for Campus Drive inclusion; despite our innumerable letters, meetings, and other City mandated means of communicating that funding needs to be earmarked for Campus Drive to restore safety to this frequently travelled arterial, which, without such mitigation - will become more hazardous with the influx of new traffic generated from the Parcel 6 Development.

Please refer to my two (2) previously submitted Agenda Item inclusion documents for background information and proffered solutions to address these issues.

Reference Documents:

Agenda Item Inclusion: FY 2022 Budget

Meeting - Saturday May 15, 2021

Agenda Item Inclusion: FY 2022 Budget

It is noted and appreciated that Council is planning to hire a Traffic Consultant to study Campus Drive, but based on Councilmember Wahab's earlier email that stated, in effect, that funding is a problem; we would like 'placeholder' funding categories to be earmarked for Campus Drive mitigation efforts and to be so approved in the FY 2022 Budget.

The recommended funding 'placeholder' categories we are referencing below and propose to be earmarked for Campus Drive - University Court and as appropriately revised to preliminarily reflect the content and proffered solutions in the (2) referenced email documents above and as shown Updated on 8 Apr, 2021 on Hayward's website.

Broken down by
Road & Street Projects⁽⁰⁵⁾ Expenses
Data
Prior Years FY 2021 Est. FY 2022

(05197) 880/92 Reliever Route Project Design, R/W and Construction -

CAMPUS DRIVE IS USED AS CUT-THROUGH TO 880/92

(05114) Administration and Predesign

CAMPUS DRIVE- UNIVERSITY CT.

(05237) Downtown Specific Plan Implementation (Foothill Blvd., **CAMPUS DRIVE - FLETCHER - 2ND ST. IS USED AS A CUT-THROUGH TO GET DOWNTOWN, RETAIL AND TO 580/880/92**

(05292) Innovative Deployments to Enhance Arterials (IDEA) - Corridor and Second Street

CAMPUS DRIVE - 2ND STREET IS THE ARTERIAL TO CSUEB - IDEA IS NEEDED TO BEGIN HERE UP TO CAMPUS AND HAYWARD BLVD

(05712) Intersection Improvement Project **4 HAZARD ZONES:**

•**CAMPUS DRIVE AND 2ND**

•**CAMPUS DRIVE AND OAKES.N**

•**CAMPUS DRIVE AND UNIVERSITY CT. @ the CUL DE SAC (A naturally occurring crosswalk: NOC)**

•**CAMPUS DRIVE AND HIGHLAND**

(05306) Gateway Feasibility Study

CAMPUS DRIVE (AS NAMED) AT 2ND, IS THE GATEWAY TO CSUEB - THE GLOBAL 'CLAIM TO FAME' FOR HAYWARD.

IT IS NOW A SCARY, 2.5 MILE, UNSIGHTLY AND UNMONITORED ARTERIAL THAT IS HAZARDOUS FOR ALL WHO SHARE THE ROAD AND AN INVITING SPEEDWAY FOR ALL WHO ABUSE THE ROAD - LEADING TO THE CSUEB CAMPUS.

IT IS A NATURALLY LOGICAL LOCATION TO PLACE A 'CAMPUS GATEWAY' ARCH STRUCTURE AT 2ND AND CAMPUS DRIVE TO SERVE THE FOLLOWING PURPOSES:

1) SET THE TONE, VIA THOUGHTFULLY APPROPRIATED DESIGN INCLUSIONS, THAT YOU ARE EN ROUTE TO A WORLD CLASS, INNOVATIVE AND CUTTING EDGE EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTION and AS IS, vis-a'-vis, the CITY OF HAYWARD.

2) PROVIDE A STRATEGIC ANCHORING POST FOR:

- Banners announcing special events

- electronically adaptable control point for:

- ° timed future signals at '4 Hazard Zones' (see above)

- ° cameras to record usage data and time zones

- ° physically set a 'traffic calming' and peaceful tone in the CSUEB Gateway environment by enlarging and beautifying the existing island with drought tolerant landscap-ing and rotating artwork from CSUEB students, as well as Hayward Residents. This can be an on-going form of support and Community Pride for Hayward Artists.

(05738) Local Road Safety Plan

PROFERRED SOLUTIONS FOR CAMPUS DRIVE - UNIVERSITY CT. (see 2 referenced emails May 15 and May 18)

(05283) Main Street Complete Street

AS SIMILARLY RELATES TO CAMPUS DRIVE

(05140) Misc Curb And Gutter Repair

AS SIMILARLY RELATES TO CAMPUS DRIVE

(05316) Protected Bikeway

PROFERRED SOLUTIONS FOR CAMPUS DRIVE - UNIVERSITY CT. (see 2 referenced emails May 15 and May 18)

(05287) New Route 238 Corridor Improvement Project - Final Design

PROFERRED SOLUTIONS FOR CAMPUS DRIVE - UNIVERSITY CT. (see 2 referenced emails May 15 and May 18)

(05194) Preliminary Design and Environmental Study for the Route 238 Corridor Improvement Project

PROFERRED SOLUTIONS FOR CAMPUS DRIVE - UNIVERSITY CT. (see 2 referenced emails May 15 and May 18)

(05106) Project Predesign

PROFERRED SOLUTIONS FOR CAMPUS DRIVE - UNIVERSITY CT. (see 2 referenced emails May 15 and May 18)

(05148) Project Predesign Services

PROFERRED SOLUTIONS FOR CAMPUS DRIVE - UNIVERSITY CT. (see 2 referenced emails May 15 and May 18)

(05199) Project Predesign Services

PROFERRED SOLUTIONS FOR CAMPUS DRIVE - UNIVERSITY CT. (see 2 referenced emails May 15 and May 18)

(05208) Project Predesign Services

PROFERRED SOLUTIONS FOR CAMPUS DRIVE - UNIVERSITY CT. (see 2 referenced emails May 15 and May 18)

(05270) Route 238 Corridor Improvement Project

PROFERRED SOLUTIONS FOR CAMPUS DRIVE - UNIVERSITY CT. (see 2 referenced emails May 15 and May 18)

(05228) **CAMPUS DRIVE** Street Repairs and Equipment Replacement - Maint Svcs
AS SIMILARLY RELATES TO CAMPUS DRIVE

(05218) **CAMPUS DRIVE** Corridor Complete Streets Feasibility Study
AS SIMILARLY RELATES TO CAMPUS DRIVE

(05714) **CAMPUS DRIVE** Transportation Software Improvements

INSTALL APPROPRIATELY PLACED LED MONITORED SPEED READOUT DEVICES THAT AUTOMATICALLY ISSUE TICKETS FOR:

- SPEEDING,**
- ENTERING A NO ACCESS ZONE (Residents Only Lateral Shift Access Residential Zones);**

•**VIOLATING A NO TURN TIME RESTRICTION** (at Campus Drive and Hayward Blvd to control overuse of Campus Drive as a cut through during high commute hours and direct traffic to Hayward Blvd. Mission, as is the prescribed route in the Parcel 6 Development Design.

(05877) Transportation System Management Projects

AS SIMILARLY RELATES TO CAMPUS DRIVE

PROFERRED SOLUTIONS FOR CAMPUS DRIVE - UNIVERSITY CT. (see 2 referenced emails May 15 and May 18)

Respectfully,

Velda Goe