## Technical Memorandum

February 22, 2022
Project\# 26855

To: $\quad$ Steven Chang and Leigha Schmidt - City of Hayward
From: Michael Sahimi and Damian Stefanakis - Kittelson \& Associates, Inc.
RE: Parcel Group 5 - Additional Bunker Hill Boulevard/Carlos Bee Boulevard Analysis

Kittelson \& Associates (Kittelson) recently prepared a local transportation analysis (LTA) for the Parcel Group 5 (PG 5) project which consists of analysis and recommendations focused on multimodal access and safety, traffic controls, traffic calming, and strategies to reduce project-generated vehicle trips. Due to resident concerns, Kittelson has also prepared this separate memo to analyze the proposed project access point at Bunker Hill Boulevard and Carlos Bee Boulevard (the project includes extending Bunker Hill Boulevard from its current terminus). The purpose of this memo is to provide recommendations on the appropriate access control for the proposed intersection.

This memorandum is organized into the following sections:

- Project Description
- Local Transportation Assessment (LTA) Traffic Operations Analysis
- LTA Sight Distance Analysis
- Traffic Signal Warrants Analysis
- Traffic Control Recommendation and Conceptual Driveway Design
- Traffic Operations Analysis w/ Bunker Hill/Carlos Bee Access Control
- Summary of Findings


## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Parcel Group 5 Project will consist of up to 74 single-family dwelling units and 8 accessory dwelling units (ADUs). The single-family homes will each have four bedrooms, and the ADUs will be a mix of studios and one-bedroom units. A total of 406 parking spaces will be provided, consisting of 100 on-street spaces, 148 driveway spaces, and 158 garage spaces.

Additional project elements include approximately 10.50 acres of open space to preserve riparian areas, a new segment of the Hayward Foothill Trail, and additional street improvements such as curbs, gutters, sidewalks, on-street parking bulb-outs, utilities, and lighting.

The project site is shown in Figure 1. The proposed site plan is shown in Figure 2.
The proposed project includes extending Bunker Hill Boulevard to Carlos Bee Boulevard to form a new intersection that would provide access to the northern portion of the project site. The PG 5 LTA analyzed this proposed access point as a side-street stop-controlled intersection, with vehicles exiting Bunker Hill Boulevard onto Carlos Bee Boulevard needing to stop.

Figure 1: Project Site


Figure 2: Project Site Plan


SOURCE: MACKAY \& SOMPS
Dated May 12, 2021 ; Recelved May 18, 2021

## PG 5 LTA TRAFFIC OPERATIONS ANALYSIS

In the PG 5 LTA, Kittelson analyzed the proposed access point at Bunker Hill Boulevard and Carlos Bee Boulevard as a full access side-street stop-controlled intersection. The traffic operations analysis included a determination of the proportion of project traffic that will use the new access point plus assessments of level of service (LOS) and queuing with project trips added on top of existing weekday morning and evening peak hours. Figure 3 shows the Existing Plus Project AM and PM peak hour volumes at the intersection. Based on project trip distribution developed using the City of Hayward General Plan Update travel demand model, the project traffic anticipated to use the new access point at Bunker Hill Boulevard and Carlos Bee Boulevard is lower than the amount anticipated to use the other two access points at Harder Road and Mission Boulevard. It was assumed that 20 outbound and 8 inbound vehicles would use the access point during the AM peak hour and 13 outbound and 23 inbound vehicles would use it during the PM peak hour.

Figure 3: Existing Plus Project Peak Hour Volumes (Bunker Hill Blvd./Carlos Bee Blvd.)


LOS is used to describe the quality of intersection operations. LOS grades range from $A$ to $F$, with LOS A representing free-flow conditions and LOS F indicating high levels of congestion. According to City guidelines, the acceptable level of service at an intersection is LOS E. Additional information regarding City methodologies and standards are provided in the PG 5 LTA.

As shown in Table 1, based on the assessment conducted in the PG 5 LTA, it is anticipated that the Bunker Hill Boulevard access point would operate with acceptable LOS during both peak hours as a side-street stop-controlled intersection.

Table 1: Automobile Level of Service, Existing Plus Project Conditions (Bunker Hill Blvd./Carlos Bee Blvd.)

| Peak Hour | Delay (sec) | LOS | Acceptable LOS? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM | 16.1 | C | Yes |
| PM | 14.9 | B | Yes |

SOURCE: Kittelson \& Associates, 2022.

Table 2 shows the anticipated $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues at the Bunker Hill Boulevard access point. As shown in the table, none of the queues are expected to exceed the available storage.

Table 2: Queves, Existing Plus Project Conditions (Bunker Hill Blvd./Carlos Bee Blvd.)

| Peak Hour | Movement |  | Storage Length | Queue (fi) | Exceed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (fi) |  | Storage? |
| AM | NB | Left | 300 | $<25$ | No |
|  |  | Right | 70 | <25 | No |
|  | WB | Left | 600 | <25 | No |
| PM | NB | Left | 300 | $<25$ | No |
|  |  | Right | 70 | $<25$ | No |
|  | WB | Left | 600 | <25 | No |

Source: Kittelson \& Associates, 2022.

## PG 5 LTA SIGHT DISTANCE ANALYSIS

The PG 5 LTA included an assessment of sight distance at the proposed access point at the new Bunker Hill Boulevard/Carlos Bee Boulevard intersection. The line of sight for the exiting stop-controlled movements at this location was analyzed to ensure that adequate sight distances are provided for vehicles to see pedestrians, bicycles, and vehicles approaching the driveway. Line of sight was analyzed using standards and methodologies described in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Geometric Design of Highways and Streets. AASHTO standards were used to develop departure sight triangles at the intersection that should be unobstructed for vehicles to provide sufficient view of approaching vehicles, bicycles, and pedestrians.

Assuming a passenger car time gap of 8.5 seconds (based on AASHTO) and the posted speed limit of 30 mph along Carlos Bee Boulevard, the intersection sight distances were calculated, and recommended departure sight triangles are shown in Figure 4. As shown in the figure, 375 feet of sight distance is needed to the left (for exiting right-turning vehicles) and to the right (for exiting left-turning vehicles). Obstructions currently consist of trees. Therefore, the PG 5 LTA included a recommendation that when the Bunker Hill Boulevard intersection is being constructed, visual obstructions such as brush and landscaping should be cleared from the sight triangle area as shown in Figure 4 to provide adequate visibility.

Figure 4: Bunker Hill Blvd./Carlos Bee Blvd. Departure Sight Triangles


## TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANTS ANALYSIS

In response to local resident concerns about the proposed traffic controls, Kittelson evaluated the proposed intersection against traffic signal warrants from the California Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices (CA-MUTCD). Traffic signal warrants are standards that provide guidelines in the determination of the need for a traffic signal (warrants studied are highlighted in bold).

The CA-MUTCD states: "An engineering study of traffic conditions, pedestrian characteristics, and physical characteristics of the location shall be performed to determine whether installation of a traffic control signal is justified at a particular location...The investigation for a traffic control signal shall include an analysis of the applicable factors contained in the following traffic signal warrants:

- Warrant 1, Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume
- Warrant 2, Four-Hour Vehicular Volume
- Warrant 3, Peak Hour
- Warrant 4, Pedestrian Volume
- Warrant 5, School Crossing
- Warrant 6, Coordinated Signal System
- Warrant 7, Crash Experience
- Warrant 8, Roadway Network
- Warrant 9, Intersection near a Grade Crossing
...The satisfaction of a traffic signal warrant or warrants shall not in itself require the installation of a traffic control signal." ${ }^{1}$

This evaluation used available data (peak hour turning movement volumes and crash history) to conduct an analysis with respect to Warrant 3 (Peak Hour) and Warrant 7 (Crash Experience). Additionally, typical assumptions about the distribution of traffic throughout the day, based on peak hour counts, allowed for Warrant 1 (Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume) and Warrant 2 (Four-Hour Vehicular Volume) to be studied. Warrants $4,5,6,8$, and 9 were not applicable to this location and were not studied. ${ }^{2}$

Warrants 1 and 2, the eight-hour and four-hour volume warrants, are computed based on approach geometry (number of lanes) and vehicle volumes. Warrant 3 , the peak hour signal warrant, is a function of approach geometry, volume, and vehicle delay. The CA-MUTCD advises that Warrant 3 "shall be applied only in unusual cases, such as office complexes, manufacturing plants, industrial complexes, or highoccupancy vehicle facilities that attract or discharge large numbers of vehicles over a short time." 3

Based on the available data, the intersection does not meet Warrants 1, 2, or 3 in the AM peak hour or the PM peak hour. Warrant sheets are provided in Attachment 1.

For an intersection to meet Warrant 7 (crash experience), the intersection needs to have observed at least five crashes "of types susceptible to correction by a traffic control signal" within a 12-month period. ${ }^{4}$ Kittelson obtained the ten most recent years of crash data (January 2011 through December 2020) for the proposed access point location as well as the existing Tanglewood/Carlos Bee Boulevard intersection, which is located approximately 100 feet away from the proposed Bunker Hill Boulevard/Carlos Bee Boulevard access point; reported crashes were obtained from the University of California, Berkeley,
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Transportation Injury Mapping System (TIMS) database and the California Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) database. This data is shown in Table 3; based on the reported crash history, the proposed access point location does not meet Warrant 7.

Note that while the Bunker Hill Boulevard/Carlos Bee Boulevard intersection currently does not exist, this crash analysis still includes crashes along the adjacent roadway segment which involve factors and traits that would be faced by vehicles using the proposed access point. In addition, it conservatively includes crashes at the adjacent Tanglewood intersection, since the two locations are linked and may need to be signalized as a single location.

Table 3: Reported Crash History, 2011-2020

| Crash Year | Road Users Involved | Fatal | Severe Injury | Visible Injury | Complaint of Pain | Property Damage Only | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2011- \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | Pedestrian Involved | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Bicycle Involved | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Vehicle Only or Vehicle-Fixed Object | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 7 |
|  | All Reported Crashes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 7 |
| 2011 | All Reported Crashes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | All Reported Crashes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2013 | All Reported Crashes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2014 | All Reported Crashes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2015 | All Reported Crashes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 2016 | All Reported Crashes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 2017 | All Reported Crashes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | All Reported Crashes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | All Reported Crashes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 2020 | All Reported Crashes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Source: SWITRS, TIMS, Kittelson \& Associates, 2022.

In conclusion, the proposed access point at Bunker Hill Boulevard and Carlos Bee Boulevard does not meet the analyzed traffic signal warrants. As such, a traffic signal is not recommended at this location.

## TRAFFIC CONTROL RECOMMENDATION AND CONCEPTUAL DRIVEWAY DESIGN

While the proposed project access point location does not trigger a traffic signal warrant, triggering a warrant is not the sole determinant for an intersection improvement. The City can at its discretion require or not require a signal be installed, based on other factors. Relevant conditions around the access point location are discussed below.

- Visibility: It could be difficult for exiting left-turning drivers waiting at a stop sign to see and anticipate westbound vehicles along Carlos Bee Boulevard due to the curve in the road to the east; this can present additional safety concerns when combined with the grade and downhill speeds (discussed below).
- Grade and downhill speeds: There is a significant grade along Carlos Bee Boulevard, which could result in higher downhill speeds for westbound vehicles. This could cause conflicts for exiting leftturning vehicles at a stop sign that are waiting for a gap in eastbound and westbound vehicles to exit the project site.
- Proximity of Carlos Bee Boulevard/Hayward Boulevard intersection: The proposed Bunker Hill Boulevard/Carlos Bee Boulevard intersection would be approximately 700 feet away from the signalized Carlos Bee Boulevard/Hayward Boulevard intersection, and approximately 500 feet away from that intersection's channelized eastbound right-turn. It is not recommended to install a traffic signal in such close proximity.
- Proximity of future Parcel Group 6 intersection: The proposed Bunker Hill Boulevard/Carlos Bee Boulevard intersection would be approximately 950 feet away from the proposed signalized PG 6 access point. It is not recommended to install another traffic signal in such close proximity.
- Proximity of Tanglewood/Carlos Bee Boulevard intersection: Tanglewood is located approximately 100 feet west of the proposed project access point and consists of a full-access side-street stopcontrolled intersection.
- It is not recommended to signalize the Bunker Hill Boulevard intersection with the unsignalized full-access Tanglewood intersection in such close proximity.
- It may be infeasible to align Bunker Hill Boulevard with Tanglewood in order to construct a standard four-legged signalized intersection.
- Installing a traffic signal would require an offset signalized intersection that would control Bunker Hill Boulevard and Tanglewood with a single traffic signal. However, signalizing access to and from the City View Apartments via Tanglewood may face hurdles related to public/resident support, cost, and fair share contributions.
- Implementing the Bunker Hill Boulevard access point as full-access side-street stopcontrolled could result in conflicts in the two-way left-turn lane for vehicles entering and leaving both sites due to the close proximity.
- Implementing the Bunker Hill Boulevard access point as right-in-right-out with a median would conflict with exiting vehicles from Tanglewood.

Based on these conditions, the recommendation for the City is to implement limited access at the Bunker Hill Boulevard/Carlos Bee Boulevard access point, allowing inbound left-turns and right-turns but restricting exiting vehicles to right-turn only. With this approach, the City can address potential safety concerns for exiting left-turning vehicles while still allowing full access for vehicles entering from the east or from the west. Drivers that want to exit the project site and travel west along Carlos Bee Boulevard towards Mission Boulevard would need to make a right turn from Bunker Hill Boulevard followed by a U-turn at the adjacent Carlos Bee Boulevard/Hayward Boulevard intersection or another location upstream to continue westbound along Carlos Bee Boulevard. This would add approximately a quarter mile of additional travel for project traffic making the U-turn at the Carlos Bee Boulevard/Hayward Boulevard intersection.

Potential conflicts between eastbound left-turning vehicles exiting Tanglewood onto Carlos Bee Boulevard and PG 5 trips turning westbound from Carlos Bee Boulevard onto Bunker Hill Boulevard, both using the twoway left-turn lane, are not anticipated. This is because there would be approximately 140 feet of storage available between Tanglewood and the new intersection, which is anticipated to be sufficient for vehicles exiting Tanglewood eastbound onto Carlos Bee Boulevard.

A conceptual layout is shown in Figure 5. As shown in the figure, exiting vehicles should be stop-controlled and restricted to right-turns only with a channelized right-turn lane that is physically separated by the inbound lane with a raised median. The channelization would orient vehicles to exit with a right turn into the eastbound direction and prevent a left-turn. The raised median should be mountable to ensure emergency vehicles can freely enter and exit Bunker Hill Boulevard. The inclusion of a channelized right-turn exit lane would require widening Bunker Hill Boulevard at Carlos Bee Boulevard. In addition, since there is a striped shoulder lane on the south side of Carlos Bee Boulevard, a merge lane should be included so that vehicles can merge onto the thru-lanes in advance of the eastbound right-turn lane at the adjacent Carlos Bee Boulevard/Hayward Boulevard intersection. There is also sufficient space to include an inbound eastbound right-turn lane at the access point, to further aid visibility and safety.

As shown in the figure, a merge is recommended instead aligning the channelized right-turn with the third eastbound lane along Carlos Bee Boulevard. This is due to three reasons:

- To avoid extending an additional obstruction (the curbed channelization) into the road.
- To prevent the loss of the taper for eastbound cars to gradually enter the third through/right lane.
- To avoid weaving movements as cars enter and exit the third eastbound lane.

Figure 5: Bunker Hill Blvd./Carlos Bee Blvd. Conceptual Layout


## TRAFFIC OPERATIONS ANALYSIS W/ BUNKER HILL/CARLOS BEE ACCESS CONTROL

LOS and queuing at the project access point were reassessed given the recommended changes. In addition, due to the additional U-turns at the intersection of Carlos Bee Boulevard and Hayward Boulevard, LOS and queuing at that intersection were also reassessed. The updated LOS and queuing information is provided in Tables 4 and 5. As shown in Table 4, both intersections would operate with acceptable LOS. Additional delay added to the Carlos Bee Boulevard and Hayward Boulevard intersection associated with project U-turns would be minimal.

Table 4: Updated Automobile Level of Service

| Intersection | Peak Hour | Delay (sec) | LOS | Acceptable Los? |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bunker Hill Blvd./ | AM | 11.9 | B | Yes |
| Carlos Bee Blvd | PM | 11.4 | B | Yes |
| Carlos Bee Blvd./ | AM | 32.7 | C | Yes |
| Hayward Blva. | PM | 29.7 | C | Yes |

Source: Kittelson \& Associates, 2022.

Table 5 shows the queues at both intersections, updated to reflect the recommended changes to the Bunker Hill Boulevard access point. As shown in the table, queues at the Bunker Hill Boulevard access point would continue to remain below the available storage. At the Carlos Bee Boulevard/Hayward Boulevard intersection, the westbound left queue length would exceed the length of the turn pocket during the PM peak hour. However, the queue does not increase as a result of project trips and would exceed the turn pocket lane under no project conditions. In addition, while the left-turn pocket is approximately 185 feet long, vehicles can also use the two-way left-turn lane which runs for the entirety of the block.

Table 5: Updated Queves

| Intersection | Peak Hour | Movement |  | Storage Length (fi) | Queve (t) | Exceed Słorage? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bunker Hill Blvd./ Carlos Bee Blvd | AM | NB | Right | 300 | $<25$ | No |
|  |  | WB | Left | 600 | <25 | No |
|  | PM | NB | Right | 300 | $<25$ | No |
|  |  | WB | Left | 600 | <25 | No |
| Carlos Bee Blvd./ Hayward Blvd. | AM | NB | Left | 530 | 46 | No |
|  |  |  | Thru/Right | 530 | 33 | No |
|  |  | SB | Left/Thru/Right | 60 | 29 | No |
|  |  | EB | Left | 80 | 31 | No |
|  |  |  | Thru | 600 | 142 | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 185 | <25 | No |
|  |  | WB | Left | 285 | 426 | Yes |
|  |  |  | Thru/Right | 1,440 | 325 | No |
|  | PM | NB | Left | 530 | 253 | No |
|  |  |  | Thru/Right | 530 | 95 | No |
|  |  | SB | Left/Thru/Right | 60 | 25 | No |
|  |  | EB | Left | 80 | 31 | No |
|  |  |  | Thru | 625 | 157 | No |
|  |  |  | Right | 185 | <25 | No |
|  |  | WB | Left | 285 | 174 | No |
|  |  |  | Thru/Right | 1,440 | 117 | No |

Source: Kittelson \& Associates, 2022.
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In addition, an AutoTurn template was prepared to determine if passenger vehicles exiting from Bunker Hill Boulevard can make a U-turn at the Carlos Bee Boulevard/Hayward Boulevard intersection to travel westbound towards Mission Boulevard; the AutoTurn template is provided as Attachment 3 to this memo. As shown in the attachment, it is possible for a full-size passenger vehicle to complete a U-turn movement to continue westbound; a U-turn can be made if the vehicle utilizes the extra width within the intersection, since the existing curb limits a U-turn. Relatively few project trips are expected to conduct this maneuver, based on the project trip distribution ( 15 in the AM peak hour and 10 in the PM peak hour). It is possible that some vehicles might make their U-turn maneuver elsewhere by utilizing the two-way left-turn lane west of the Carlos Bee Boulevard/Hayward Boulevard intersection or by circling back at intersections further downstream and to the east.

## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The following summarizes the findings based on the analysis Kittelson conducted related to the proposed PG 5 access point at Bunker Hill Boulevard and Carlos Bee Boulevard.

- The proposed access point does not meet eight-hour vehicular volume (Warrant 1), four-hour vehicular volume (Warrant 2), peak hour (Warrant 3), or crash experience (Warrant 7) traffic signal warrants. As such, a traffic signal is not recommended.
- Based on a review of conditions at the proposed access point such as visibility, grade and downhill speeds, and the proximity to other intersections, the recommendation for the City is to implement limited access at the Bunker Hill Boulevard/Carlos Bee Boulevard access point, allowing inbound left-turns and right-turns but restricting exiting vehicles to right-turn only. With this approach, the City can address potential safety concerns for exiting left-turning vehicles while still allowing full access for vehicles entering from the east or from the west. Potential conflicts between eastbound leftturning vehicles exiting Tanglewood onto Carlos Bee Boulevard and PG 5 trips turning westbound from Carlos Bee Boulevard onto Bunker Hill Boulevard, both using the two-way left-turn lane, are not anticipated due to the 140 feet of available storage. Drivers that want to exit the project site and travel west along Carlos Bee Boulevard towards Mission Boulevard would need to make a right turn from Bunker Hill Boulevard followed by a U-turn at the adjacent Carlos Bee Boulevard/Hayward Boulevard intersection to continue westbound along Carlos Bee Boulevard. The attached AutoTurn template shows that this U-turn maneuver is achievable by passenger vehicles; it is possible that some vehicles might make their U-turn maneuver by utilizing the two-way left-turn lane west of the intersection or by circling back at intersections further downstream/east.
- A conceptual layout of the access point was prepared, which shows that exiting vehicles should be stop-controlled and restricted to right-turns only with a channelized right-turn lane that is physically separated by the inbound lane with a raised median. The channelization would orient vehicles to exit with a right turn into the eastbound direction and prevent a left-turn. The raised median should be mountable to ensure emergency vehicles can freely enter and exit Bunker Hill Boulevard. The inclusion of a channelized right-turn exit lane would require widening Bunker Hill Boulevard at Carlos Bee Boulevard. In addition, since there is a striped shoulder lane on the south side of Carlos Bee Boulevard, a merge lane should be included so that vehicles can merge onto the thru-lanes in advance of the eastbound right-turn lane at the adjacent Carlos Bee Boulevard/Hayward Boulevard intersection (aligning the channelized right-turn with the third eastbound lane along Carlos Bee Boulevard is not recommended). There is also sufficient space to include an inbound eastbound right-turn lane at the access point, to further aid visibility and safety.
- This recommended change to the Bunker Hill access point traffic control would not negatively affect traffic operations at that intersection nor at the intersection of Carlos Bee Boulevard/Hayward Boulevard.
- The change would add approximately a quarter mile of additional travel for project traffic making the U-turn at the Carlos Bee Boulevard/Hayward Boulevard intersection.


## ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 - Traffic Signal Warrant Sheets
Attachment 2 - Updated Existing Plus Project Level of Service and Queuing Worksheets Attachment 3 - U-Turn at Intersection of Carlos Bee Blvd. and Hayward Blvd.

## Attachment 1 - Traffic Signal Warrant Sheets



KITTELSON \& ASSOCIATES, INC.
610 SW Alder, Suite 700
Portland, Oregon 97205
(503) 228-5230

| Project \#: | 26151 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Project Name: | Hayward Parcel Group 5 |
| Analyst: | MZS |
| Date: | 1/20/2022 |
| File: | $\mathrm{H}: \ 26 \backslash 26151$ - - Parcel b Local Iransportation |
|  | Analysis\Analysis\Access Point Additional |
| Intersection: | Analvsis\warrants\neak hour\IExistingPP AM.xlsmlWar Bunker Hill Blvd. \& Carlos Bee Blvd. |
| Scenario: | Existing Plus Project AM |


| Warrant Summary |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Warrant | Name | Analyzed? | Met? |
| $\# 1$ | Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume | Yes | No |
| $\# 2$ | Four-Hour Vehicular volume | Yes | No |
| $\# 3$ | Peak Hour | Yes | No |
| $\# 4$ | Pedestrian Volume | No | - |
| $\# 5$ | School Crossing | No | - |
| $\# 6$ | Coordinated Signal System | No | - |
| $\# 7$ | Crash Experience | Yes | No |
| $\# 8$ | Roadway Network | No | - |
| $\# 9$ | Intersection Near a Grade Crossing | No | - |

Analysis Traffic Volumes
Major Street Minor Street

| Begin | End | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:45 AM $8: 45$ AM | 857 | 904 | 20 | SB |
| 2nd Highest Hour | 811 | 856 | 16 | 0 |
| 3rd Highest Hour | 800 | 844 | 15 | 0 |
| 4th Highest Hour | 766 | 808 | 13 | 0 |
| 5th Highest Hour | 754 | 796 | 12 | 0 |
| 6th Highest Hour | 754 | 796 | 11 | 0 |
| 7th Highest Hour | 720 | 759 | 11 | 0 |
| 8th Highest Hour | 708 | 747 | 10 | 0 |
| 9th Highest Hour | 686 | 723 | 10 | 0 |
| 10th Highest Hour | 640 | 675 | 10 | 0 |
| 11th Highest Hour | 617 | 651 | 9 | 0 |
| 12th Highest Hour | 606 | 639 | 9 | 0 |
| 13th Highest Hour | 583 | 615 | 7 | 0 |
| 14th Highest Hour | 503 | 530 | 7 | 0 |
| 15th Highest Hour | 400 | 422 | 7 | 0 |
| 16th Highest Hour | 377 | 398 | 6 | 0 |
| 17th Highest Hour | 263 | 277 | 4 | 0 |
| 18th Highest Hour | 217 | 229 | 4 | 0 |
| 19th Highest Hour | 114 | 121 | 1 | 0 |
| 20th Highest Hour | 80 | 84 | 1 | 0 |
| 21st Highest Hour | 69 | 72 | 1 | 0 |
| 22nd Highest Hour | 46 | 48 | 0 | 0 |
| 23rd Highest Hour | 23 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| 24th Highest Hour | 23 | 24 | 0 | 0 |

## Input Parameters

| Volume Adjustment Factor = | 1.0 |
| :--- | :---: |
| North-South Approach = | Minor |
| East-West Approach = | Major |
| Major Street Thru Lanes = | 2 |
| Minor Street Thru Lanes = | 1 |
| Speed > 40 mph? | No |
| Population < 10,000? | No |
| Warrant Factor | $100 \%$ |
| Peak Hour or Daily Count? | Peak Hour |
|  |  |
| Major Street: 4 4th-Highest Hour / Peak Hour | $89 \%$ |
| Major Street: 8 th-Highest Hour / Peak Hour | $83 \%$ |
| Minor Street: 4 4th-Highest Hour / Peak Hour | $66 \%$ |
| Minor Street: 8 8th-Highest Hour / Peak Hour | $52 \%$ |




KITTELSON \& ASSOCIATES, INC.
610 SW Alder, Suite 700
Portland, Oregon 97205
(503) 228-5230

| Project \#: | 26151 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project Name: | Hayward Parcel Group 5 |
| Analyst: | MZS |
| Date: | 1/20/2022 |
| File: | H:\26\<6151-Parcel b Local Iransportation |
|  | Analysis\Analysis $\backslash$ Access Point Additional |
| Intersection: | Analvsis\warrants\neak hourl\ExistingPP PM.xlsmIWar |
| Scenario: | Exiser Hill Blvd. \& Carlos Bee Blvd. |
|  |  |


| Warrant Summary |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Warrant | Name | Analyzed? | Met? |
| $\# 1$ | Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume | Yes | No |
| $\# 2$ | Four-Hour Vehicular volume | Yes | No |
| $\# 3$ | Peak Hour | Yes | No |
| $\# 4$ | Pedestrian Volume | No | - |
| $\# 5$ | School Crossing | No | - |
| $\# 6$ | Coordinated Signal System | No | - |
| $\# 7$ | Crash Experience | Yes | No |
| $\# 8$ | Roadway Network | No | - |
| $\# 9$ | Intersection Near a Grade Crossing | No | - |

Analysis Traffic Volumes
Major Street Minor Street

| Hour |  | Major Street |  | Minor Street |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Begin | End | WB | NB | SB |  |
| 5:00 PM | 799 | 687 | 13 | 0 |  |
| 2nd Highest Hour | 756 | 650 | 10 | 0 |  |
| 3rd Highest Hour | 746 | 641 | 10 | 0 |  |
| 4th Highest Hour | 714 | 614 | 9 | 0 |  |
| 5th Highest Hour | 703 | 605 | 8 | 0 |  |
| 6th Highest Hour | 703 | 605 | 7 | 0 |  |
| 7th Highest Hour | 671 | 577 | 7 | 0 |  |
| 8th Highest Hour | 661 | 568 | 7 | 0 |  |
| 9th Highest Hour | 639 | 550 | 7 | 0 |  |
| 10th Highest Hour | 597 | 513 | 7 | 0 |  |
| 11th Highest Hour | 575 | 495 | 6 | 0 |  |
| 12th Highest Hour | 565 | 485 | 6 | 0 |  |
| 13th Highest Hour | 543 | 467 | 5 | 0 |  |
| 14th Highest Hour | 469 | 403 | 4 | 0 |  |
| 15th Highest Hour | 373 | 321 | 4 | 0 |  |
| 16th Highest Hour | 352 | 302 | 4 | 0 |  |
| 17th Highest Hour | 245 | 211 | 2 | 0 |  |
| 18th Highest Hour | 202 | 174 | 2 | 0 |  |
| 19th Highest Hour | 107 | 92 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 20th Highest Hour | 75 | 64 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 21st Highest Hour | 64 | 55 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 22nd Highest Hour | 43 | 37 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 23rd Highest Hour | 21 | 18 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 24th Highest Hour | 21 | 18 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Input Parameters

| Volume Adjustment Factor = | 1.0 |
| :--- | :---: |
| North-South Approach = | Minor |
| East-West Approach = | Major |
| Major Street Thru Lanes = | 2 |
| Minor Street Thru Lanes = | 1 |
| Speed > 40 mph? | No |
| Population < 10,000? | No |
| Warrant Factor | $100 \%$ |
| Peak Hour or Daily Count? | Peak Hour |
|  |  |
| Major Street: 4 4th-Highest Hour / Peak Hour | $89 \%$ |
| Major Street: 8 8th-Highest Hour / Peak Hour | $83 \%$ |
| Minor Street: 4 4th-Highest Hour / Peak Hour | $66 \%$ |
| Minor Street: 8 8th-Highest Hour / Peak Hour | $52 \%$ |



# Attachment 2 - Updated Existing Plus Project Level of Service and Queuing Worksheets 

91：Bunker Hill Blvd．\＆Carlos Bee Blvd．

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay，s／veh | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个 | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 个中 |  | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 851 | 6 | 2 | 917 | 0 | 20 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 851 | 6 | 2 | 917 | 0 | 20 |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 100 | 150 | - | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | 0 | - | - | 0 | 2 | - |
| Grade，\％ | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 925 | 7 | 2 | 997 | 0 | 22 |


| Major／Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 932 | 0 | － | 463 |
| Stage 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Stage 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Critical Hdwy | － | － | 4.14 | － | － | 6.94 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Follow－up Hdwy | － | － | 2.22 | － | － | 3.32 |
| Pot Cap－1 Maneuver | － | － | 730 | － | 0 | 546 |
| Stage 1 | － | － | － | － | 0 | － |
| Stage 2 | － | － | － | － | 0 | － |
| Platoon blocked，\％ | － | － |  | － |  |  |
| Mov Cap－1 Maneuver | － | － | 730 | － | － | 546 |
| Mov Cap－2 Maneuver | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Stage 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| Stage 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay，s | 0 |  | 0 |  | 11.9 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane／Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | R WBL | WBT |
| Capacity（veh／h） |  | 546 | － | － | 730 | － |
| HCM Lane V／C Ratio |  | 0.04 | － | － | 0.003 | － |
| HCM Control Delay（s） |  | 11.9 | － | － | 9.9 | － |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | B | － | － | A | － |
| HCM 95th \％tile Q（veh） |  | 0.1 | － | － | 0 | － |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## Notes

User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.
Unsignalized Delay for [NBR, EBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

6: Carlos Bee Blvd. \& Hayward Blvd.

|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | * | 7 | $\checkmark$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 17 | 550 | 445 | 430 | 1002 | 44 | 75 | 18 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.16 | 0.62 | 0.28 | 0.87 | 0.60 | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.16 |
| Control Delay | 45.1 | 35.9 | 0.4 | 51.5 | 20.5 | 23.4 | 8.4 | 39.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 45.1 | 35.9 | 0.4 | 51.5 | 20.5 | 23.4 | 8.4 | 39.7 |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) | 8 | 91 | 0 | 196 | 156 | 14 | 2 | 8 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 31 | 142 | 0 | \#426 | 325 | 46 | 33 | 29 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 851 |  |  | 575 |  | 459 | 181 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 80 |  | 165 | 290 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 107 | 1095 | 1615 | 492 | 1666 | 494 | 503 | 306 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.16 | 0.50 | 0.28 | 0.87 | 0.60 | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.06 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

91: Bunker Hill Blvd. \& Carlos Bee Blvd.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个4 | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 个4 |  | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 781 | 18 | 5 | 692 | 0 | 13 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 781 | 18 | 5 | 692 | 0 | 13 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 100 | 150 | - | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 2 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 849 | 20 | 5 | 752 | 0 | 14 |



6: Carlos Bee Blvd. \& Hayward Blvd.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## Notes

User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.
Unsignalized Delay for [NBR, EBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

6: Carlos Bee Blvd. \& Hayward Blvd.

|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | \% | 7 | $\checkmark$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 20 | 676 | 225 | 209 | 393 | 348 | 498 | 20 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.16 | 0.64 | 0.14 | 0.67 | 0.28 | 0.56 | 0.59 | 0.15 |
| Control Delay | 39.7 | 31.0 | 0.2 | 41.3 | 17.6 | 24.9 | 7.0 | 27.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 39.7 | 31.0 | 0.2 | 41.3 | 17.6 | 24.9 | 7.0 | 27.6 |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) | 8 | 96 | 0 | 84 | 48 | 122 | 14 | 4 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 31 | 157 | 0 | \#174 | 117 | 253 | 95 | 25 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 783 |  |  | 575 |  | 459 | 181 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 80 |  | 165 | 290 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 124 | 1175 | 1577 | 368 | 1436 | 625 | 844 | 354 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.16 | 0.58 | 0.14 | 0.57 | 0.27 | 0.56 | 0.59 | 0.06 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Attachment 3 - U-Turn at Intersection of Carlos Bee Blvd. and Hayward Blvd.



Kittelson \& Associates, Inc.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ CA-MUTCD, Section 4C. 01
    2 Warrants 4 and 5 are applicable for crossings without existing traffic control (i.e., STOP sign or traffic signal) to assist pedestrian crossings. Warrant 6 pertains to intersections that are part of a coordinated signal system, which this location is not. Warrants 8 and 9 are applicable to contexts not matching this site's context.
    ${ }^{3}$ CA-MUTCD, Section 4C.04, 02
    ${ }^{4}$ CA-MUTCD, Section 4C.08, 02B

