

The following is a list of CCA program goals as articulated in various planning documents. We understand that all these goals are important to a CCA program, but we want to better understand the committee's priorities relative to initial program design and areas of focus. Please finish the following sentence, "East Bay Community Energy should offer..." and give each answer a ranking using the four options below:

■ Immediate/ Imperative
 ■ Very Important
 ■ I'm Neutral
 ■ Important, But Later

1. Overall rates and customer bills that are lower than or competitive with those offered by PG&E



2. An electric supply portfolio with a lower greenhouse gas (GHG) intensity than PG&E



3. An electric supply portfolio that has a higher renewable energy content than is offered by PG&E and also meets or exceeds the State's renewable portfolio standard



4. An electric supply portfolio and CCE program offerings that support the achievement of city and county Climate Action Plan goals



5. An integrated energy portfolio that results in new, in-state renewable power development as well as the development of local, distributed energy resources



6. An energy portfolio that minimizes the use of unbundled renewable energy certificates



7. A program that offers a range of power supply options for the purpose of customer choice



8. A program that has aggressive energy conservation goals and incorporates energy efficiency and demand response programs



9. A program that demonstrates quantifiable economic benefits and jobs to the region (e.g. union and prevailing wage jobs, local workforce development)



10. A program that promotes personal and community ownership of renewable resources, spurring equitable economic development and increased resilience, especially in low income and communities of color



11. A program that seeks to integrate innovative clean technology (e.g. battery storage, EV infrastructure) at the residential and commercial levels



12. An administering Agency that is financially sustainable, responsive to County and regional priorities, and well managed



0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%

Comments to Question 1:


Democratic governance structure (i.e., a Board of Directors composed of local elected leaders), similar to MCE/SCP

Some of the items are not important to me in establishing a CCE, however such was not an option.

GHG reductions are the most important to the community I represent. We hope that doesn't get bogged down by economic development discussions.

Please indicate your level of interest in future topics for committee discussion:

Very Interested Somewhat Interested Not Interested




Comments to Question 2:

Legislative history of CCA & analysis of opposition (Prop 16; AB 2145; campaigns against MCE; etc.)

Wind energy

For City representatives: What is the best way to keep your municipal colleagues informed about this initiative?

Answered: 13 Skipped: 16




Other (please specify) response:

Written updates that can be distributed via e-mail until such time as this topic rises to the level of an actual Council presentation

Meeting frequency: What is your preference?

Answered: 28 Skipped: 1



Other (please specify) responses:

Monthly; unless we can launch EBCE ahead of schedule

Every-other-month, with added meetings when necessary.

Meet every other month except monthly when needed for action items. "Off" months might be useful for non-action informational briefings and structured informal discussion topics.

Please use this space for any suggestions, questions, or comments related to the steering committee, project pacing, and/or CCA in Alameda County more generally

- Answered: 11
- Skipped: 18

This entire process needs to be reality based. If all we're going to do is create another government agency, bloated and full of bureaucracy that doesn't create renewable energy sources that are less expensive than PG&E, we're just wasting taxpayer money. 11/3/2015 11:33 AM

Please consider creating a publicly observable, online message board that will allow Steering Committee members to freely communicate on their own time (i.e., apart from formal meetings). This could also function as a platform for sharing a variety of media and information online (e.g. articles; videos; reports; etc.). This would be especially important if the SC meets less frequently. The discourse that occurs among SC members is a rare and essential opportunity for local leaders and industry experts to engage each other--and the public--on the topic of local energy policies. If there are divergent opinions and perspectives, the public will benefit by being able to observe and evaluate the different points of view. Robust debate on the direction of the East Bay's energy future should be nurtured, publicized, and prioritized. This will help empower ratepayers with context and information that is largely absent today. Thank you, County Staff, for all you're doing for our communities! 11/3/2015 9:00 AM

While I appreciate being included in the process of developing an RFP and possibly selecting a consultant, I believe that having County staff undertake these tasks would be much more productive than using the Steering Committee. The Committee should be used to direct on high level policy issues and setting of goals, objectives and plans. Also, there appear to be many Committee members with certain biases, which makes it difficult to keep an open mind through this process. 11/2/2015 10:06 PM

Thanks for keeping this going! The thing we want is for this to move faster, but we know you're trying your best and the timeline has already been extended because of Committee discussion on the RFP. Also, thank you for changing the meeting date based on committee requests. 11/2/2015 3:07 PM

CV library meeting venue has bad acoustics, had difficulty hearing the discussion last time 11/2/2015 1:30 PM

Would like to see the meetings end no later than 8:30 PM. 10/31/2015 4:46 PM

Please don't go to an erratic, action item/decision based meeting schedule. The first few months have already been chaotic enough. It will make it hard for many municipal representatives to participate if a standing monthly meeting date isn't kept. Good idea to do this survey. The results will probably reflect the composition of the CSC fairly predictably, but there may be some surprising areas of consensus. 10/29/2015 8:36 AM

If any further committees need to be formed, I hope they are not formed by the different interest groups. In the case of environment, for example we don't all know each other. It would be good if there were a social half hour before, after or during a break. Members could be invited to help with snacks and drinks. I'd like to get to know my fellow members of the committee better. I don't know if informal happy hours could be formed without violating the Brown Act. Perhaps when there is no business to conduct in a given month something informal could be planned. PS I'm OK with extra meetings if needed, but I prefer a set schedule so I can protect the dates. 10/28/2015 6:34 PM

A high priority has to be structuring meetings to allow for the tough/controversial issues in a meaningful/productive manner. 10/28/2015 5:59 PM

ATTACHMENT I

So far public participation at steering committee meetings has been very limited. I would like to see more time given to public comments on each agenda item, and rotation of meeting locations throughout the county, with public transit option always available. I think it is more important to take the time to design a program that will respond to the interests of communities than to rush to launch. 10/28/2015 3:00 PM

Integration of EE into the program is particularly important. We might consider subcommittees related to developing specific topics, but it's important to have the whole group keeping up with each other. Another approach would be scheduling spaces for topical discussion, as suggested for "off" months. 10/28/2015 2:29 PM