ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

	Aesthetics		Agriculture and Forestry Resources		Air Quality	
	Biological Resources		Cultural Resources	\boxtimes	Geology/Soils	
	Greenhouse Gas Emissions		Hazards & Hazardous Materials		Hydrology / Water Quality	
	Land Use / Planning		Mineral Resources		Noise	
	Population / Housing		Public Services		Recreation	
	Transportation/Traffic		Utilities / Service Systems		Mandatory Findings of Significance	
DETE	RMINATION: (To be Cor	nplete	d by the Lead Agency)			
Based o	on this initial evaluation:					
	I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.					
\boxtimes	I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.					
	I find that the proposed ENVIRONMENTAL IN	-	t MAY have a significant ef FREPORT is required.	fect or	n the environment, and an	
	I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been					
		nitigat	nt to that earlier EIR or ion measures that are impo			
Jay Lee,	Associate Planner				Date	

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES:

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
I. AESTHETICS. Would the project:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				
The project site is not within the vicing family residence has been designed Urban/Wildlife Interface Design Gui hillside to maintain views afforded to considered less than significant.	in accordance delines to step	e with the City the building ar	of Hayward chitecture with	Hillside and the existing
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				
The project site is not located within impact designated scenic resources, Therefore, the project will have <i>no in</i>	including tree	-		
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?				

The existing site is located along a steeply sloped hillside in an area zoned as Single-Family Residential (RS) District where other single-family residences are permitted and currently exist. The City of Hayward Hillside and Urban/Wildlife Interface Guidelines requires development within the hillsides to step along the existing hillside to minimize grading and maintain the natural terrain of the property to the greatest extent feasible, thereby reducing the visual impact from the nearest public right-of-way, Call Avenue. The proposed single-family residence will conform to all applicable development standards particularly setbacks, height, and lot coverage. The size of the home is consistent with the size of other homes in the surrounding neighborhood and the mass is broken up into smaller components. The proposed project also incorporates traditional materials including wood siding and stone veneer to blend in with the natural setting. Furthermore, the proposed project will include new drought-tolerant landscaping in compliance with the Bay-Area Friendly Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance and will enhance the visual quality and character of the existing vacant site. As designed, the project would not substantially degrade the character or quality of the site and its surroundings. Therefore, impacts are considered *less than significant*.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?				
The proposed single-family residence and would thereby introduce a new emissions from one single-family conditioned, will require that all ext glare onto adjacent properties. Thus than significant related to lighting an	source of light dwelling is nerior lights be the impacts of	nt to the site. Ho ot considered s shielded down	owever, the addignificant. The ward as to not	ditional light e project, as cast light or
II. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES. In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and forest carbon measurement methodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:				
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?				
Per the California Department of Co the project site is designated as " <i>Ur</i> involve any Prime Farmland, Unio Therefore, the project will have <i>no in</i> Finder).	<i>ban and Built</i> que Farmland	-Up Land"; the l, or Farmland	refore, the proj of Statewide	ect does not Importance.
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?				\boxtimes
The proposed project is not zoned for	or agricultural	uses nor is the	property under	Williamson

Act contract. Therefore, the project will have no impact (Zoning Map, Google Earth).

Page 3 of 28

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?					
The proposed project, construction of forest land or timberland. Therefore Earth).				_	
d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				\boxtimes	
The proposed project does not involve land to non-forest use. Therefore, the Earth).					
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?					
The proposed project would not result in a conversion of Farmland to non-agricultural uses nor would it result in conversion of any farmland to a non-forest use (Zoning Map, Google Earth). Therefore, the project will have <i>no impact</i> .					
III. AIR QUALITY. Where available, the si management or air pollution control district is Would the project:					
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?			\boxtimes		
The proposed project involves development of one single-family residence on a currently vacant parcel and will thereby result in an increase in stationary and mobile source emissions over the existing baseline condition. However, the proposed project is consistent with the subject Zoning District (Single-Family Residential) and General Plan Land Use Designation (Low Density					

Residential) for the property, which envisioned the proposed development of a single-family residence. Therefore, the development of the subject site with a single-family residence will not

	Significant Impact	Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Than Significant Impact	Impact
conflict with the goals of the region significant.	al air quality	plan, and impac	ets are consider	red less than
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?			\boxtimes	
The Bay Area Air Quality Manager (Urban Land Use Emissions Model) determining if a proposed project corongoing operational air quality im Operational-Related Criteria Air Poll District's criteria, the proposed single significant impact related to air quality	as part of their ald result in population (BAAQ utant and Precentation)	or CEQA Air Quotentially signified MD 2011 CEQ cursor Screening ence is well below.	ality Guideline cant construction (A. Guidelines Level Sizes). It was the screening the screening ality of the scr	s to assist in on-related or , Table 3.1, Based on the ig level for a
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?				
As noted in III.a and III.b above, the screening size for projects that are Therefore, air quality emissions from BAAQMD significance thresholds for regional criteria pollutants.	expected to rent the proposed	esult in significa d project are exp	ant air pollutar pected to be we	nt emissions. ell below the
While the development of a single-threshold, it is important to note the preparation and grading, would tem PM _{2.5} . Unless properly controlled, we which could be an additional source Approval related to construction activities incorporated into the Site Plan Revisignificant.	hat any cons porarily generated chicles leaving e of airborne vities to minin	truction activition rate fugitive dust the site would of dust after it dri nize fugitive dus	es, particularly st in the form of leposit mud on es. Standard C t and particulat	during site of PM ₁₀ and local streets, conditions of e matter will
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?				
The proposed project involves develo	opment of a si	ngle-family resi	dence on a curi	ently vacant

site. The project site is located within a predominantly single-family residential neighborhood and is surrounded by similar development to the north, east, south, and west. There are no

Potentially

Less Than

Less

No

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
sources of pollutant concentrations n not result in exposure of sensitive re the project will have <i>no impact</i> .				
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?				\boxtimes
The proposed project would not include odors (i.e. landfill, composting facility objectionable odors affecting a substano impact.	ities, refineries	, food manufac	turer, etc.) that	could create
IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES. Would	the project:			
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?			\boxtimes	
The project site is currently vacant, of surrounded on the southern, eastern, a Background Conditions Report, Figure Ruderal communities are generally previously disturbed in some manner disturbance of a portion of the current gophers, squirrels, and other small rohabitat that is known to host candidate be less than significant.	and western be are 7-1, Existing composed of r. While devently vacant site of dents, it will no	oundaries by deving Vegetation Converse vacant parcels lopment of the sthat likely hosts of have a substantiation.	relopment (City ommunities; Go that have been site will result in urban wildlife so intial impact on	of Hayward oogle Earth). en disked or in permanent such as mice, any valuable
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, and regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?				

As noted above, the project site is located in an area identified as ruderal, which is generally composed of vacant parcels that have been disked or previously disturbed in some manner. While development of the site with a new single-family residence will result in permanent disturbance of a portion of the site that likely hosts urban wildlife such as mice, gophers, squirrels, and other small rodents, it will not have a substantial impact on any riparian habitat

	Impact	with Mitigation Incorporated	Significant Impact	Ппрасс
or other identified sensitive natural significant.	communities	s. Therefore,	impacts will	be less than
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				
The project site does not contain any was Background Conditions Report, Figure				y of Hayward
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				
As noted above, the project site is loc composed of vacant parcels that have While development of the site with disturbance of a portion of the site is squirrels, and other small rodents, the neighborhood will not impede the use will require the issuance of a Tree Re for removal do not contain active ness impacts will be <i>less than significant</i> .	e been disked h a single-fa- that likely hose location of the of native wild moval Permit	or previously mily residence sts urban wild e project site v life nursery sit , which will en	disturbed in se will result differ such as nowithin an existing tes. Additional ansure that the t	some manner. in permanent nice, gophers, ng residential ly, the project rees proposed
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?				
The subject site has a ruderal grounder	cover and scar	ttered trees (C	ity of Hayward	d Background

Potentially

Significant

Conditions Report, Figure 7-1, Existing Vegetation Communities; Google Earth). Several existing mature trees on the project site will be removed to accommodate the proposed development (Hayward GIS Web-Map, Site Plan). Any proposed tree removal on private property in conjunction with new development is subject to the Chapter 10, Article 15 of the Hayward Municipal Code (Tree Preservation Ordinance), which requires submittal of an Arborist Report and the issuance of a Tree Removal Permit. If approved, the project will be

Less

Than

Less Than

Significant

No

Impact

Potent	tially	Less Than	Less	No
Signif	icant	Significant	Than	Impact
Īmp	act	with	Significant	
-		Mitigation	Impact	
		Incorporated	_	
		-		

required to submit a detailed landscape plan and an arborist report prior to approval of a building permit that identifies replacement trees and other mitigation measures of equal or greater value to the appraised value of protected trees that will be removed. Therefore, impacts will be *less than significant*.

f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				\boxtimes
The City of Hayward does not have Community Conservation Plan and as su	-		vation Plan or	: Natural
V. CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the pro	ject:			
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5?				
There are no known historic resources associated with the project site or the adjacent parcels (City of Hayward Background Conditions Report, Figures 1-3 and 1-4, and Table 1-2). In the unlikely event that historic or cultural resources are discovered during excavation related to later phases of the project, standard Conditions of Approval for all development projects require the contractor to stop all work adjacent to the find and contact the City of Hayward Development Services Department to preserve and record the uncovered materials so it can be safely removed (General Plan Policy Natural Resources NR-7.2, Paleontological Resource Mitigation).				
If standard procedures are followed in the project site, there will be a <i>less than s</i> General Plan Background Report and Inventory Report, July 2010).	ignificant impa	act related to th	e project (Hayw	ard 2040
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5?				
No known archaeological resources exist	t on the site (C	ity of Hayward	Background C	onditions

No known archaeological resources exist on the site (City of Hayward Background Conditions Report, Figures 1-3 and 1-4, and Table 1-2). As indicated above, in the unlikely event that historical or cultural resources are discovered in later phases of work, standard Conditions of Approval for all development projects would apply as described in Section V.A above. Therefore, if standard procedures are followed in the event cultural/historical resources are

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
uncovered at the project site, there v (Hayward 2040 General Plan).	will be a <i>less t</i>	han significant i	mpact related to	o the project
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?				
No known paleontological resources exist on the site (City of Hayward Background Conditions Report, 7-137 and 7-138). Other than the steep slope, which is characteristic of the surrounding area, there are no unique geological features on or near the site (City of Hayward Web-map, Google Earth). In the unlikely event that paleontological resources are discovered during later phases of development, the project's standard Conditions of Approval for all development projects would apply as described in V.A above.				
If standard procedures are followed in the event cultural, historical or paleontological resources are uncovered at the project site, there will be a <i>less than significant</i> impact related to the development of the single-family residence (Hayward 2040 General Plan).				
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				
There is no recorded information related to the location of known human remains or cemeteries near the project site; however, standard procedures for grading operations shall be followed during development, which require that if any such remains or resources are discovered, grading operations shall be halted, the City and County Coroner shall be notified and the resources/remains shall be evaluated by a qualified professional. Further, if necessary, mitigation plans shall be formulated and implemented prior to commencement of grading operations consistent with the City's General Plan Policy NR-7.2. These standard measures would be Conditions of Approval should the project be approved thus resulting in a <i>less than significant</i> impact related to the potential disturbance of human remains.				
VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:				
a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				

Potentially	Less Than	Less	No
Significant	Significant	Than	Impact
Impact	with	Significant	
	Mitigation	Impact	
	Incorporated		

The project site is not located within a known Earthquake Hazard Zone nor is there geomorphic evidence suggestive of active faulting within the site. However, the subject parcel is located in an area that is assigned a high seismic rating, due to the proximity of several faults, including the Hayward Fault. As such, a major earthquake in the future would expose people and property to strong seismic ground shaking, liquefaction and soil instability. It is essential to note that all structures will be designed using sound engineering judgment and adhere to the latest California Residential Code (CRC) requirements, which will minimize impacts related to such activity but site-specific mitigation is required to minimize these impacts due to the heavily sloped topography.

Per the Geotechnical Investigation Report prepared by Wayne Ting & Associates (March 2017), the proposed project could be built with mitigation to reduce impacts. The report provides general recommendations for the project, including the seismic design, site preparations, foundation, retaining walls, concrete slab-on-grade, and drainage that would reduce geological-related impacts to a *less than significant with mitigation*.

GEO-1 Impact: New construction on the subject site could be susceptible to strong ground shaking or unstable soils created by planned cuts and fills in the existing steeply sloped hillside property.

GEO-1 Mitigation Measure: The proposed residence shall incorporate the proposed mitigation measures and recommendations set forth in the Geotechnical Investigation Report prepared by Wayne Ting & Associates, dated March 5, 2017.

GEO-1 Mitigation Monitoring: The City shall review and approve the civil, site and building

plans to ensure compliance prior to th	e issuance of	any grading or	building permi	its.
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?				
See VI.a. Implementation of Mitigat of less than significant with mitigation		GEO-1 would	reduce the imp	pact to a level
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				
See VI.a. Implementation of Mitigat of less than significant with mitigation		GEO-1 would	reduce the imp	pact to a level
iv) Landslides?				

Per the Geotechnical Investigation Report prepared by Wayne Ting & Associates, investigation into the site does not reveal a record of or potential for landslides. Further, the geotechnical report states records do not depict any slides along the top of the slope, and there was no indication of active or dormant landslide deposits within the proposed building site and does

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
not appear to be a significant risl Compliance with Mitigation Measu will minimize any potential landsl <i>mitigation</i> .	ıre GEO-1 will	ensure that all th	ne construction-	level design
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				
The project will be subject to standard Planning and Building permit review and inspection processes that would require standard construction-related erosion control measures set forth in the Hayward Municipal Code (HMC), including but not limited to gravelling construction entrances and protecting drain inlets. Furthermore, the project is required to obtain a Grading Permit from the City Council due to grading on slopes greater than 20 percent. The issuance of the Grading Permit is subject to the review and approval of the City's Public Works Department. The project will also be subject to the standard conditions of approval requiring grading to occur consistently with grading plans prepared by a State licensed engineer and approved by the City. The grading plan must include details for retaining walls and slope protection measures. Thus, the potential impacts to soil erosion or loss of topsoil is considered <i>less than significant</i> .				
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?		\boxtimes		
As noted in VI.a.i above, the proposed project site is vulnerable to unstable geological activity. Implementation of Mitigation Measure GEO-1 would reduce the impact to a level of <i>less than significant with mitigation</i> .				
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?		\boxtimes		
According to a Geotechnical Investigation Report prepared by Wayne Ting & Associates (March 2017), the proposed site is suitable for the proposed development of a single-family residence provided the project is constructed with the recommendations contained in the Geotechnical Investigation Report. In addition, as noted in VI.a.iii above, implementation of Mitigation Measure GEO-1 would reduce the impact of unstable soils to a level of <i>less than significant with mitigation</i> .				
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or			Da	11 of 20

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				
The proposed project would not involve the use of septic tanks or an alternative waste water disposal system. Thus, <i>no impact</i> .				
VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS. Would the project:				
a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?				
The BAAQMD has established screening criteria as part of their CEQA Air Quality Guidelines to assist in determining if a proposed project could result in operational-related impacts to Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions. The proposed project involves the construction of one single-family residence along an existing hillside with associated grading (Project Description). Single-family residential projects with less than fifty-six (56) dwelling units have been identified by the BAAQMD Air Quality Guidelines as having emissions less than 1,100 metric tons of CO²e per year which is below the threshold recommended by the respective Air Quality District for evaluation of GHG emissions for new land use projects. Therefore, impacts will be <i>less than significant</i> .				
b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?				
As discussed in Section VII.a above, the proposed project will not exceed the threshold for operational GHG emissions. Further, the project would not conflict with the City of Hayward's adopted Climate Action Plan and <i>Hayward 2040 General Plan</i> policies and programs aimed at reducing GHG emissions. Therefore, the project will have <i>no impact</i> .				f Hayward's
VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:				
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				
The proposed construction of one signading activities will not involve Therefore, the project will have <i>no in</i>	the transport,			

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?				
The proposed construction of one s grading activities will not involve the of hazardous materials into the environment.	use of hazard	ous materials that	at could result i	n the release
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				
The proposed project site and construction associated grading activities will not handling of hazardous material. There	ot emit hazaro	dous emissions	nor would it i	
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				\boxtimes
The proposed project site is located to by existing single-family residential of State of California's Department (http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/pr and no hazardous material sites are loproject will have <i>no impact</i> .	development." of Toxic Su ublic/search.as	The proposed probstances Contractions Contraction Cont	oject site is not ol's EnviroSto assessed March	listed on the or Webpage h 20, 2017)
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				\boxtimes

The project site is not located within the vicinity of a private air strip and is more than three-miles from the Hayward Executive Airport. Therefore, the project will have *no impact*.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				
The project site is not located within miles from the Hayward Executive A	•	*	*	
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				\boxtimes
The proposed project proposes the construction of one single-family residence along the hillside that currently contains adequate emergency access. The project will not interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan. Therefore, the project will have <i>no impact</i> .				
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?				
The project site is located within the City of Hayward Wildland/Urban Interface Area, and will be required to meet the construction requirements set forth in the City of Hayward Hillside Design and Urban/Wildland Interface Guidelines, including but not limited to installation of Class A roofing materials, exterior non-combustible siding materials, installation of double-pane windows, and compliance with requirements contained in the 2016 California Residential Code Section R327, which will be Conditions of Approval for the project. With implementation of these design and construction features, the proposed single-family residence will have a <i>less than significant</i> impact related to exposure of people or structures to wildland fire risk.				
IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUAL	ITY. Would th	ne project:		
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?				
Construction and grading activity would result in the disturbance of soil. Depending on the dates of proposed grading activity, the applicant will be required to submit a grading permit and comply with an Erosion Control Plan which will be monitored by the City's Public Works Department, as a standard Condition of Approval. The proposed project would also be required				

to manage post-construction stormwater runoff with Low Impact Development methods such

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
as directing runoff into cisterns, rain barrels or vegetated areas (Site Plan and Civil, Drainage Plans). Additionally, the proposed project will be subject to receiving review and approval for the grading and improvement plans by the Alameda County Flood Control District prior to submission to the City's Public Works Department – Engineering Division.				
The project would comply with State and Local water quality and discharge requirements, resulting in a <i>less than significant</i> impact related to a degradation of water quality.				
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?				
The proposed single-family residence not involve the use of water wells a groundwater recharge; thus, <i>no impa</i>	nd will not dej			
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or offsite?				
There are no streams or rivers on or within the boundaries of the project site. The proposed project consists of construction of a new single-family home with an attached three-car garage and a driveway, which would result in introduction of impervious areas on approximately 28 percent of the site.				
Additionally, in accordance with construction standards and provisions set forth by the Hayward Municipal Code, the development of the single-family residence on the site will include the implementation of site design measures including directing roof runoff into rain barrels for reuse and directing runoff from driveway areas onto vegetated areas to capture potential debris, pollutants, and excessive run-off. Thus; the project's impact to the existing drainage pattern, including erosion on- or off-site is considered <i>less than significant</i> .				

d) Substantially alter the existing drainage

pattern of the site or area, including through

 \boxtimes

Potentially	Less Than	Less	No
Significant	Significant	Than	Impact
Impact	with	Significant	
	Mitigation	Impact	
	Incorporated		

the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?

There are no streams or rivers on or within the boundaries of the project site. The site is substantially surrounded by development and water drains into existing storm water drainage facilities. As noted in IX.c above, drainage from the proposed development would be managed through site design measures to collect potential pollutants, debris, and excessive run-off from the project site that will eventually discharge to the City's storm drain system. Conditions of Approval will require that run-off be directed into vegetated areas, rain barrels, and self-retaining areas to minimize post-development run-off. Furthermore, a standard construction requirement is to implement best management practices for erosion control. The minimal increase in post-development run-off would result in a *less than significant* impact related to flooding on- or off-site.

e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?		\boxtimes	
See IX.c and IX.d above.			
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?		\boxtimes	
See IX.a, IX.c and IX.d above.			
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?			
The project site is not located within Flood Map Panel No. 06001C0291G,	•	a; thus, <i>no in</i>	npact (FEMA
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?			

The project site is not located within a 100-year flood hazard area; thus, *no impact* (FEMA Flood Map Panel No. 06001C0291G, effective August 3, 2009).

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				
The project site is not located within proximity to any known dam or leve facility (FEMA Flood Map Panel No 2040 General Plan Background Repo	thus there is 0.06001C0291	<i>no impact</i> relat IG, effective Au	ed to flooding gust 3, 2009 a	from such a and Hayward
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				\boxtimes
The proposed project is located more no impacts related to inundation (FEN 3, 2009 and Google Earth).			-	
X. LAND USE AND PLANNING. Would to a) Physically divide an astablished	he project:			
a) Physically divide an established community?				
The proposed project involves constitution that is zoned for single-family residential units. Therefore, community and as such, there is <i>no in</i>	ntial developm the project v	ent. The site is s	surrounded by	other single-
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				

The proposed project involves construction of a single-family residence on a vacant parcel of land within an existing single-family neighborhood. The proposed development is consistent with the density and lot size of the Low Density Residential (LDR) General Plan Land Use designation and the minimum design and performance standards and development standards set forth in the corresponding Single-Family Residential (RSB10) Zoning District. Furthermore, the proposed design of the residence is consistent with the applicable Urban/Wildland Hillside Design Guidelines in that the residential structure will exhibit a stepped design to follow the existing natural terrain of the property. Additionally, as discussed in Section XI.b, the project is

	Impact	with Mitigation Incorporated	Significant Impact	•
required to obtain a Grading Permit fro 20 percent. The issuance of the Gradi City's Public Works Department to e City's grading regulations. Thus, the primpact related to conflicts with applications.	ng Permit i nsure that a roposed dev	s subject to the rany proposed gradelopment will res	eview and a ding is consult in a less t	approval of the sistent with the shan significant
c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				\boxtimes
The City of Hayward does not hav Community Conservation Plan. Theref	-			an or Natural
XI. MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the pr	oject:			
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				
There are no known mineral resources impact (Hayward 2040 General Plan B			e, the proje	ct will have no
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other land use plan?				
There are no known mineral resources impact (Hayward 2040 General Plan B			ore, the proje	ect will have no
XII. NOISE. Would the project result in:				
a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?				

The project involves construction of a new single-family residence and associated grading activities in an existing residential neighborhood. The proposed use is not expected to generate a substantial increase in the permanent ambient noise levels above standards established in the Hayward 2040 General Plan. Additionally, the project site is not located near any roadway

Potentially

Significant

Less Than

Significant

No

Impact

Less

Than

	Impact	with Mitigation Incorporated	Significant Impact	Impact
segments identified as significant not Table 9-11, and Summary of Mode will not result in exposure of perso standards. Therefore, impacts will be	led Existing Tons to or generate	raffic Noise Leveration of noise l	vels). The propo	osed project
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive ground-borne vibration or ground-borne noise levels?				
Per the Caltrans Transportation an 2013), a significant impact related to levels would occur if the construction people to vibration levels exceeding	o excessive gro on of later ph	ound-borne vibra ases of the prop	tion or ground- osed project wo	borne noise ould expose
Project construction activities relationship immediate vicinity of the work area anticipated to be 0.1 in/sec PPV or I point of grading activity would be adjacent to the project site; thus the PPV, which is considered <i>less than s</i>	. Vibration levess at a distance about 20 feet potential increase	yels from period ce of 50 feet from from the existin	s of heavy cons n construction. g residential de	truction are The nearest evelopments
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				
See XII.a above. Therefore, impacts	will be less the	an significant.		
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				
The proposed project would result in temporary increase in noise related to construction activities. Noise generated by construction activities would temporarily elevate noise levels at adjacent noise sensitive receptors. However, construction activities are required to be conducted in accordance with the provisions of Section 4-1.03.4 of the Hayward Municipal Code, which provides best management practices to limit noise levels during construction. These best management practices will be required for the project through Conditions of Approval. Therefore, temporary or periodic noise impacts related to construction would be considered <i>less than significant</i> .				
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public				\boxtimes

Potentially Significant Impact

Less Than

Significant

No

Impact

Less

Than

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				
The project site is not located within expose people residing at the residen occur as a result of the project.	•		-	
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				\boxtimes
The project site is not located within would occur as a result of the project	•	of a private air s	trip. Therefore	, no impacts
XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING. W	ould the projec	et:		
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?				
The proposed project involves construction of a single-family residence on an existing vacant lot within an established single-family residential neighborhood that was zoned for single-family residential uses. The project would not induce substantial population growth either directly or indirectly and is consistent with the General Plan. Thus, <i>less than significant</i> impact.				l for single- rowth either
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				
The project involves construction of one single-family residence on a currently vacant lot and would thus not involve displacement of any existing housing stock. Therefore, the project will have <i>no impact</i> .				
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				
The proposed project involves construction vacant lot and would not displace any		-	•	•

the project will have no impact.

Potentially Significant Impact Less Than
Significant
with
Mitigation
Incorporated

Less Than Significant Impact No Impact

XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES.

a) Would the project result in substantial ad	lverse physical in	npacts associa	ted with the pro	vision of new
or physically altered governmental facilities				
the construction of which could cause				
acceptable service ratios, response times or				
uoceptuote service tunos, response times or	outer periorities.	ee oojeeti (es i	or any or the pe	ione bervices.
i. Fire protection?			\boxtimes	
The proposed project involves con lot in an established single-family require the construction or expansion under General Plan assumptions. It is significant impact related to fire provide ii. Police protection? Although construction of the new incrementally increase the demand the construction or expansion of pothe General Plan assumptions. The significant impact related to police	residential neight on of fire protects. Therefore, the protection. residence and octor police service for police service protection facerefore, the protection facerefore face	cupation of the tees, the proposed cilities beyond	proposed project would those already project would those already project would those already project would be considered to the considered	ect would not ready planned we a less than unt site would lid not require planned under
iii. Schools?		П	\boxtimes	
iii. Selloois.				
The proposed project is located wi developer will be required to pay S issuance, which is considered full r to schools are considered <i>less than</i>	chool Impact Mi nitigation pursua	tigation Fees a	at the time of bu	ilding permit
iv. Parks?			\boxtimes	
The project proponent would be req 10, Article 16, Property Develope Municipal Code. Therefore, project	rs - Obligations	for Parks and	Recreation of	the Hayward
v. Other public facilities?				

Potentially	Less Than	Less	No
Significant	Significant	Than	Impact
Impact	with	Significant	
_	Mitigation	Impact	
	Incorporated		

The proposed project is an infill development and surrounded by development including roads, streetlights, and other public facilities. The proposed project will not result in a need for public facilities beyond those already planned under General Plan assumptions. Therefore, project impacts related to other public facilities are considered *less than significant*.

XV. RECREATION				
a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				
The proposed project involves construgrading activities on an existing vacant the construction of the new residence where residents to the community, it is not result in substantial deterioration of suproponent would be required to pay Parimpact to a level of <i>less than significant</i>	lot in an esta ould likely ind anticipated th ch facilities. rk Dedication	ablished residerease the use at the minor. In addition,	dential neighbore of existing particles in popular as noted above	rhood. While rks by adding ulation would e, the project
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				
See XV.a comment above. Therefore, in	mpacts will be	less than sig	gnificant.	
XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would	ld the project:			
a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?				

Potentially	Less Than	Less	No
Significant	Significant	Than	Impact
Impact	with	Significant	
	Mitigation	Impact	
	Incorporated		

The traffic generated from construction of a new single-family residence within an established residential neighborhood is not sufficient to warrant further study and is not expected to result in any discernible impact to the surrounding circulation patterns. Therefore, the impact to the existing roadway is considered *less than significant*.

management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?				
No intersection level of service will be in on a vacant lot in an established resider less than significant.	•		•	
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks?				
The proposed project is surrounded by h such, the proposed project involves no ch have <i>no impact</i> .				
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?			\boxtimes	
The project will not result in a new driver have access from an existing driveway through an access easement. The project road and sidewalks along the project standards. As such, the increased hazard	on an adjacent t is also require frontage on C	single-family led to reconstructall Avenue to	ot (26630 Call et and repair the meet current	Avenue) e existing roadway
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?				\boxtimes
The proposed single-family residence vexisting roadway (Call Avenue). In additional content of the proposed single-family residence vexisting roadway (Call Avenue).				

front property line (20-feet proposed) and would therefore be within the range of fire service

hoses. There, the project will have no impact.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
f) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?				
The proposed project will not impedestrian plans or facilities. Therefore		•	-	, bicycle or
XVII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYST	EMS. Would	the project:		
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?				
Sanitary sewage from the City's sy Facility (WPCF), which discharges in Water Quality Control Board (RWQ project will be required to connect to The proposed development consists of the surrounded by an established resi of wastewater treatment requirement significant impact.	nto the San France (CB). As a state of the City's so of construction dential neighbors.	ncisco Bay unde ndard Condition ervice, which is n of one single-foorhood and woo	er a permit with of Approval, to located along Co amily residence and not result in	the Regional the proposed Call Avenue on a vacant a exceedance
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
The proposed project is located with noted in XVII.a above, the proposed and would not require construction Additionally, the proposed single-far <i>Plan</i> and the associated water demay (Hayward 2040 General Plan Backg not require construction of new water facilities. Therefore, impacts will be	project would on of or expanily use was a and was antic round Report, or wastewate	d result in a minimal resu	imal increase in ewater treatme the <i>Hayward 2</i> e City's Water the proposed p	n wastewater nt facilities. 1040 General Master Plan roject would
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
As described in IX.c and IX.d, in action forth by the Hayward Municipal Code measures including directing roof rudriveway areas onto vegetated areas off. Thus, the overall increase in runover existing conditions and would rethe construction of new stormwater described.	e, the project wonoff into rain to capture pot- off flowing from the control of t	vill include the in barrels for reuse ential debris, po om the site woul nan significant in	nplementation of e and directing llutants, and ex d result in a m	of site design runoff from accessive run- inor increase
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?				
As noted in XVII.b above, the pranticipated in the <i>Hayward 2040 Ge</i> 2040 General Plan Background Repoless than significant impact related to	eneral Plan ar ort, 8-3). There	nd the City's Water fore, the propos	ater Master Pla	an (Hayward
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				
See XVII.a and XVII.b above. The p wastewater and would not require co facilities. Therefore, impacts will be	nstruction of o	r expansion of w		
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				
There is sufficient capacity to accomprome the City of Hayward at Altamproject would contribute incremental has adopted City-wide policies and or 1, Solid Waste Collection and Dispolandfills. Adherence to these policies	ont Landfill the ly to the use of dinances (see sal) intended	nrough 2024. So f the landfill cap Hayward Munici to maximize the	lid waste gene acity. The City pal Code Chap City's diversi	erated by the of Hayward oter 5, Article
g) Comply with federal, state, and local				

Potentially	Less Than	Less	No
Significant	Significant	Than	Impact
Impact	with	Significant	_
-	Mitigation	Impact	
	Incorporated	-	

statutes and regulations related to solid waste?

See XVII.f above. The project would be subject to all adopted City regulations related to solid waste and there is adequate capacity at the Altamont Landfill to accommodate the proposed project. Thus, the project would result in a *less than significant* impact related to solid waste.

XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

a) Does the project have the potential to			
degrade the quality of the environment,			
substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or			
wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife			
population to drop below self-sustaining			
levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or		\boxtimes	
animal community, reduce the number or			
restrict the range of a rare or endangered			
plant or animal or eliminate important			
examples of the major periods of California			
history or prehistory?			

The proposed project involves construction of a single-family residence with associated grading on a currently vacant site in an established residential neighborhood. While construction of the residence would result in the removal and replacement of existing trees, the impact related to such removal can be mitigated through the implementation of the City's Tree Preservation Ordinance, which will require the planting of replacement trees on-site. While urban wildlife is likely present on the site, it does not have adequate or documented habitat for any identified, endangered or otherwise protected species. Further, there is no evidence of any cultural or paleontological resources at or near the site although standard General Plan policies and conditions related to halting work and reporting a find is required per local and State law. Therefore, the impact is *less than significant*.

b) Does the project have impacts that are			
individually limited, but cumulatively			
considerable? ("Cumulatively			
considerable" means that the incremental			
effects of a project are considerable when		\boxtimes	
viewed in connection with the effects of			
past projects, the effects of other current			
projects, and the effects of probable future			
projects)?			

Potentially	Less Than	Less	No
Significant	Significant	Than	Impact
Impact	with	Significant	
_	Mitigation	Impact	
	Incorporated		

A lead agency shall find that a project may have a significant effect on the environment where there is substantial evidence that the project has potential environmental effects "that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable." As defined in Section 15065(a)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines, cumulatively considerable means "that the incremental effects of an individual project are significant when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects." The proposed project involves construction of one single-family residence along the hillside in an established suburban residential neighborhood and would not result in an impact that would be cumulatively considerable over existing conditions. Therefore, the impact is *less than significant*.

c) Does the project have environmental		
effects which will cause substantial adverse		
effects on human beings, either directly or		
indirectly?		

As described in **Impact GEO-1**, the proposed project could be susceptible to strong ground shaking or unstable soils created by planned cuts and fills in the existing steeply sloped site. However, implementation of **Mitigation Measure GEO-1** will minimize those risks through design and field verifications via a Licensed Professional Engineer prior, during, and post construction. With the implementation of standard measures and Conditions of Approval identified and described throughout this study, the proposed development of one single-family dwelling would not result in substantial adverse impacts on human beings, either directly or indirectly. Thus, *less than significant impact with mitigation*.

SOURCES

- 1. Professional Judgement and Expertise of The Individual That Prepared This Initial Study Based Upon Review If the Site and Surrounding Conditions and Project Plans
- 2. Bay Area Air Quality Management District. *California Environmental Quality Act Air Quality Guidelines*. May 2011.
- 3. Bay Area Air Quality Management District Updated CEQA Guidelines, http://www.baaqmd.gov/plans-and-climate/california-environmental-quality-act-ceqa/updated-ceqa-guidelines, accessed on March 20, 2016.
- 4. City of Hayward 2040 General Plan
- 5. City of Hayward 2040 General Plan Background Report, January 2014
- 6. City of Hayward Geographic Information Systems (http://webmap.hayward-ca.gov/)
- 7. City of Hayward Hillside Design and Urban/Wildland Interface Guidelines
- 8. City of Hayward Municipal Code
- 9. FEMA Flood Map Panel No. 06001C0293G, August 3, 2009. FEMA Flood Map Service Center: Search by Address. http://msc.fema.gov/portal/search, accessed on March 8, 2016
- 10. Geotechnical Report prepared by Henry Justiniano & Associates (July 2015)
- 11. Google Earth
- State of California, Department of Conservation, Regulatory Maps.
 http://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/informationwarehouse/index.html?map=regulatorymaps,
 Accessed on March 20, 2017
- 13. State of California's Department of Toxic Substances Control's Envirostor Webpage (http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/search.asp?basic=True, Accessed March 20, 2017.
- 14. State of California, Department of Transportation, Scenic Highway Routes, http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LandArch/16 livability/scenic highways/scenic hwy.htm, Accessed on March 8, 2017.
- 15. State of California Department of Conservation, California Important Farmland Finder, Accessed on March 20, 2017. http://maps.conservation.ca.gov/ciff/ciff.html