APRIL 7-17, 2019



2019 CITY OF HAYWARD COMMUNITY SURVEY 320-847 WT

N=663

MARGIN OF SAMPLING ERROR $\pm 4.0\%$ (95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL) A/B SPLITS

| Hello, | I'm from , a public opin | ion research company. We're conducting a public opinion survey |
|--------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | of Hayward. We are definitely not trying to sell anything, and |
| | | I please speak to? (MUST SPEAK TO VOTER |
| LISTI | | R LIVES AT THE ADDRESS LISTED; OTHERWISE, |
| | IINATE.) | , |
| | | |
| A. | Before we begin, I need to know if I | have reached you on a cell phone, and if so, are you in a place |
| | where you can talk safely without enda | angering yourself or others? |
| | | |
| | | nfely 81% |
| | | s safely TERMINATE |
| | | 19% |
| | (DON'T READ) DK/N | A/REFUSEDTERMINATE |
| | | |
| | | J A FEW QUESTIONS TO MAKE SURE WE ARE |
| | SPEAKING TO A REPRESE | NTATIVE GROUP OF LOCAL RESIDENTS. |
| | | |
| B. | What was the last level of school you | completed? |
| | | |
| | | High school graduate or less 37% |
| | | Vocational/technical school4% |
| | | Some college, no degree23 % |
| | | Associate degree14% |
| | | Four-year college or bachelor's degree 15% |
| | | Graduate school or advanced degree5% |
| | | (DON'T READ) Refused2% |
| C. | With which racial or ethnic group do y | you identify yourself? (DEAD LIST) |
| C. | with which racial of cumic group do | du identity yourseit: (READ EIST) |
| | | Hispanic or Latino 30% |
| | | White or Caucasian 32% |
| | | Black or African-American 10% |
| | | Afghani0% |
| | | Middle Eastern0% |
| | | Asian or Pacific Islander 18% |
| | | American Indian or Alaska Native1% |
| | | Multiracial3 % |
| | | Other (SPECIFY)1% |
| | | (DON'T READ) DK/NA/REFUSED4% |

(ASK ONLY IF ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER - CODE 6 - IN QC)

D. More specifically, would you say that you are: (**READ LIST**)

| Chinese 16% |
|------------------------------|
| Filipino 44% |
| Thai1% |
| Indian 15% |
| Central Asian0% |
| Japanese1% |
| Korean0% |
| Vietnamese7% |
| Samoan0% |
| Tongan1% |
| Guamanian or |
| Chamorro2% |
| Other Pacific Islander5% |
| Multiracial0% |
| Other (SPECIFY)5% |
| (DON'T READ) DK/NA/REFUSED2% |

(ASK ONLY IF MIDDLE EASTERN - CODE 5 - IN QC)

E. More specifically, how do you identify your ethnicity? (**OPEN END**)

(RESUME ASKING ALL RESPONDENTS)

NOW I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU SEVERAL QUESTIONS ABOUT LIFE IN HAYWARD.

1. First, I'd like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Hayward. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Hayward? (IF SATISFIED /DISSATISFIED, ASK: Is that very or somewhat SATISFIED/DISSATISFIED?)

| | 2008 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 2019 |
|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|------|-------------------------------|
| TOTAL SATISFIED | 76% | 79% | · <u>80%</u> | 85% | 76% 75% |
| Very satisfied | 30% | 37% | 42 % | 49% | 27%25% |
| Somewhat satisfied | 46% | 42 % | 38% | 37% | 49%50% |
| | | | | | |
| TOTAL DISSATISFIED | 23% | 21% | 20% | 14% | 24%22% |
| Somewhat dissatisfied | 16% | 11% | 12% | 9% | 16% 15% |
| Very dissatisfied | 7% | 10% | 8% | 5 % | 7 <i>%</i> 7 <i>%</i> |
| • | | | | | |
| (DON'T READ) DK/NA | 1% | 1% | 1% | 1 % | 3% 3% |

(ASK IF DISSATISFIED - CODES 3 OR 4 - IN Q1)

2. Next, in a few words of your own, what is the most important change that could be made to improve quality of life in Hayward? **(OPEN-END, RECORD VERBATIM RESPONSE)**

| Revitalizing downtown/Improve neighborhoods | 25% |
|---------------------------------------------------|------|
| Improve safety | 23 % |
| Repair roads/Infrastructure | 22 % |
| Addressing homelessness | 19% |
| Addressing high rent/Lack of affordable housing | 13 % |
| Improving education | |
| Address the cost of living | 7% |
| Improving traffic/Transportation | 6% |
| Increase police presence | |
| Provide more parking | 5% |
| Too much growth | 5% |
| High taxes | 1 % |
| Improving law enforcement-community relationships | 1% |
| | |
| | |
| Other | 4% |

(RESUME ASKING ALL RESPONDENTS)

Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Hayward is doing to provide resident services? (IF SATISFIED/DISSATISFIED, ASK: Is that very or somewhat SATISFIED/DISSATISFIED?)

| | 2008 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 | 2019 |
|-----------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------|------|
| TOTAL SATISFIED | - 71% | 73% | 70% | 77% | <u>62%</u> | 60% |
| Very satisfied | - 26% | 28% | 33% | 35% | 19% | 18% |
| Somewhat satisfied | - 45% | 45% | 37% | 42% | 43% | 43% |
| | | | | | | |
| TOTAL DISSATISFIED | - 16% | 21% | 22% | 17% | 28% | 21% |
| Somewhat dissatisfied | - 10% | 12% | 15% | 10% | 17% | 13% |
| Very dissatisfied | 6% | 9% | 7% | 7% | 11% | 7% |
| | | | | | | |
| (DON'T READ) DK/NA | 9% | 6% | 8% | 6% | 10% | 19% |

(ASK IF DISSATISFIED - CODES 3 OR 4 - IN Q3)

4. What makes you dissatisfied with the job the City of Hayward is doing to provide resident services? (OPEN END, RECORD VERBATIM RESPONSE)

| We need to improve roads/reduce traffic 19% |
|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Wasteful spending/Dissatisfied with government 13% |
| We need to improve police/emergency response times 13% |
| Need to address homelessness 11% |
| Rent is too high/Not enough affordable housing 11% |
| Not enough businesses/things to do6% |
| Police abuse6% |
| No available jobs/good-paying employers5% |
| We need better schools4% |
| Cost of living2% |
| High taxes2% |
| Need to improve parking/transportation2% |
| |
| Other20% |
| Don't know1% |
| Refused1% |

(RESUME ASKING ALL RESPONDENTS)

5. Next, I am going to read you some words and phrases that might describe Hayward. Please tell me whether you think each of the phrases below describes Hayward very well, somewhat well, not too well, or not well at all. If you don't know, you can tell me that, too. (RANDOMIZE)

| | | VERY WELL | SMWT WELL | NOT TOO WELL | NOT WELL AT ALL | DON'T KNOW | TOTAL <u>WELL</u> | TOTAL NOT <u>WELL</u> |
|-------|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| []a. | Diverse | 61% | 29% | 6% | 0% | 4 % | 90% | 6% |
| []b. | Welcoming | 29% | 49% | 12% | 4 % | 5% | <i>78%</i> | 17% |
| []c. | A good place to live | 30% | 55% | 12% | 3 % | 0% | 85% | 15% |
| []d. | A good place to work | 21% | 34% | 10% | 6% | 28% | 55% | 16% |
| []e. | A good place to raise a family | 23 % | 46% | 18% | 8 % | 6% | 69% | 25% |
| []f. | Safe | 16% | 50% | 24% | 10% | 1 % | 65% | 34% |
| []g. | Affordable | 14% | 44% | 21% | 21% | 1 % | 57% | 42% |
| []h. | Clean | 17% | 48% | 23% | 11% | 1 % | 65% | 35% |

6. Now I am going to read you a list of issues that some people say might be problems in Hayward. For each one I read, please tell me whether you think it is an extremely serious problem, a very serious problem, a somewhat serious problem, or not too serious a problem in the city. (RANDOMIZE)

| | | EXT SER | VERY SER | SER | NOT TOO SER | | EXT/ |
|--------|----------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|---------|-------------|
| (CDI I | T CALLED T A CONTRO | <u>PROB</u> | <u>PROB</u> | <u>PROB</u> | <u>PROB</u> | (DK/NA) | <u>VERY</u> |
| (SPLI | T SAMPLE A ONLY) | | | | | | |
| []a. | Waste in City government | | | | | | 25% |
| []b. | Potholes and street maintenance | 24% | 26% | 30% | 18% | 1 % | 50% |
| []c. | Traffic congestion on local streets and | | | | | | |
| | roads | 27% | 30% | 27% | 15% | 1 % | 57% |
| []d. | Too much growth and development | 6% | 14% | 27% | 44 % | 8% | 20% |
| []e. | The cost of housing | 28% | 31% | 27% | 10% | 2% | 60% |
| []f. | A lack of entertainment and cultural options | 8% | 18% | 33 % | 36% | 5% | 26% |
| []g. | Litter and graffiti | 12% | 21% | 44 % | 21% | 2% | 33% |
| []h. | Too many vacant properties and storefronts | 13 % | 22% | 34% | 27% | 4% | 35% |
| | | | | | | | |
| (SPLI | T SAMPLE B ONLY) | | | | | | |
| []i. | Inefficiency in local government | 14% | 16% | 33% | 16% | 21% | 30% |
| []j. | The amount people pay in local taxes | 19% | 21% | 31% | 18% | 11% | 40% |
| []k. | Crime, in general | 17% | 33% | 34% | 13% | 3% | 49% |
| []1. | Traffic congestion on local freeways | 43% | 33% | 19% | 5% | 0% | 76% |
| []m. | Jobs and unemployment | 11% | 17% | 33% | 15% | 24% | 28% |
| []n. | Homelessness | 29% | 31% | 29% | 10% | 2% | 60% |
| []o. | The quality of public education | 30% | 23 % | 16% | 15% | 16% | 53% |
| []p. | A lack of parks and recreation options | | | | | | 24% |
| 1 | | | | | | | |

(RESUME ASKING ALL RESPONDENTS)

MY NEXT QUESTIONS ARE ABOUT PUBLIC SAFETY.

7. How safe do you feel in Hayward ____? Do you feel safe, unsafe, or neither safe nor unsafe? (IF SAFE/UNSAFE, ASK: Is that very SAFE/UNSAFE or just somewhat?) (READ A FIRST, THEN RANDOMIZE)

| | | | | NEITHER | | | | |
|-------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|----------|--------|-------------|---------------|
| | | VERY | SMWT | SAFE NOR SMWT | VERY | (DK/NO | TOTAL | TOTAL |
| | | SAFE | SAFE | UNSAFE UNSAF | E UNSAFE | OPIN) | <u>SAFE</u> | <u>UNSAFE</u> |
| (ASK | "a" FIRST) | | | | | | | |
| []a. | In general | - 16% | 48% - | 15% 16% | 5 % | 0% | 64% | 21% |
| (SPLI | T SAMPLE A ONLY) | | | | | | | |
| []b. | Driving on Hayward | | | | | | | |
| | streets | 28% | 46% - | 8% 12% | 5% | 2% | 73% | 17% |
| []c. | Walking or playing in the | | | | | | | |
| | park closest to your | | | | | | | |
| | residence | - 27% | 38% - | 10% 13% | 9% | 3 % | 65% | 22% |
| (SPLI | T SAMPLE B ONLY) | | | | | | | |
| []d. | Bicycling | - 10% | 32% - | 13 % 14 % | 12% | 19% | 42% | 26% |
| []e. | Walking in your | | | | | | | |
| | neighborhood | - 27% | 44% - | 10% 12% | 7 % | 0% | 71% | 19% |
| | | | | | | | | |

NOW I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU ABOUT SOME OF THE SERVICES AND PROGRAMS HAYWARD'S CITY GOVERNMENT PROVIDES TO ITS RESIDENTS.

8. First, in your opinion, what is the most important thing the City of Hayward can do to improve City services for the people who live and/or work here? **(OPEN-END; RECORD RESPONSES BELOW)**

| Increase police presence | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Provide affordable housing/rent control | 13% |
| Repair roads/infrastructure | 12% |
| Reduce traffic congestion | 9% |
| Better communication between city elected officials, staff and | |
| residents/transparency | 8% |
| Improve development/permit process | 8% |
| Provide more community functions/family-oriented programs | 8% |
| Address homelessness | |
| Improve education/schools | 7% |
| Keep the city clean/remove blight/graffiti | 7% |
| Cost of living/Raise wages/poverty | 4% |
| Improving job opportunities | 4% |
| Improve transportation | 3% |
| Improve health care | 1% |
| Reduce taxes | |
| Reduce wasteful spending | 0% |
| | |
| Other | 6% |
| Don't know | |
| Nothing | 1% |
| Refused | 3% |

9. Overall, as a resident of the City of Hayward, how much of an opportunity do you feel that you have to voice your concerns on major community issues that affect your life? (**READ LIST**)

| | 2008 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 | 2019 |
|--------------------|-------|--------|------------|-------|----------------|------------------|
| GREAT DEAL/SOME | - 56% | - 53% | <u>N/A</u> | - N/A | 49% | - 47% |
| A great deal | - 22% | - 22% | N/A | - N/A | 15% | 16% |
| Some | - 34% | -31% | N/A | - N/A | 34% | -31% |
| | | | | | | |
| LITTLE/NOT MUCH | - 49% | - 45% | N/A | - N/A | 43% | -45% |
| A little | - 20% | - 22 % | N/A | - N/A | 22% | 19% |
| Not much at all | - 19% | - 23 % | N/A | - N/A | 21% | -26% |
| | | | | | | |
| (DON'T READ) DK/NA | 5% | 2% | N/A | - N/A | 7% | 8% |

10. And in your opinion, do you think there is a great need, some need, a little need, or no real need for additional funds to provide the level of City services that Hayward residents need and want?

| GREAT/SOME NEED73% | o |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Great need 39% | 0 |
| Some need 34% | Ó |
| | |
| LITTLE/NO REAL NEED 18% | 0 |
| A little need8% | Ó |
| No real need 10% | Ó |
| | |
| (DON'T READ) DK/NA9% | ó |

11. Next, I am going to read you a list of aspects of life in the City of Hayward. After I mention each one, please tell me, in your opinion, how important each one is to making Hayward a good place to live: extremely important, very important, somewhat important, or not too important. If you have no opinion, you can tell me that too. Here is the first one... (RANDOMIZE)

| | | | | | NOT | | |
|-------|----------------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| | | EXT | VERY | SMWT | TOO | NO OPIN/ | EXT/ VEDV |
| (SPLI | T SAMPLE A ONLY) | <u>IMP</u> | <u>IMP</u> | <u>IMP</u> | <u>IMP</u> | <u>DK/NA</u> | <u>VERY</u> |
| []a. | Safe neighborhoods | 59% | 34% | 5 % | 1% - | 1% | 94% |
| []b. | Fast emergency response | | | | | | 89% |
| []c. | Safe and well-maintained streets and | 20,0 | 22/2 | 0,10 | 0 , s | _ / 5 | 0 2 / 0 |
| [] | sidewalks | 45% | 41% | 12% | 2% - | 1% | 86% |
| []d. | Well-maintained street lighting | | | | | | 83% |
| []e. | Revitalized older neighborhoods and | | | | | | |
| ., | business districts | 37% | 33% | 21% | 4% - | 5% | 70% |
| []f. | Adding parks as any new development is | | | | | | |
| | approved | 24% | 34% | 29% | 10% | 3% | 58% |
| []g. | Having adequate parking | | | | | | 66% |
| []h. | A clean, well-maintained city | | | | | | 85% |
| []i. | Speedy review of development applications | | | | | | 49% |
| []j. | Prompt removal of graffiti | | | | | | 53% |
| []k. | Steady arrival of new businesses in the city | | | | | | 60% |
| []1. | Good availability of local jobs | | | | | | 80% |
| []m. | Affordable rental properties | | | | | | 80% |
| []n. | Safe and well-maintained City | | | | | | |
| | infrastructure | 39% | 45% | 12% | 3% - | 2% | 84% |
| | | | | | | | |
| (SPLI | T SAMPLE B ONLY) | | | | | | |
| []o. | Effective police protection | | | | | | 90% |
| []p. | Minimal numbers of stray animals | 23% | 29% | 22% | 18% | 8% | 52% |
| []q. | Smooth and efficient traffic movement | | | | | | 86% |
| []r. | Attractive landscaping and medians | 21% | 32% | 32% | 14% | 1% | 53% |
| []s. | A revitalized downtown area | 29% | 37% | 22% | 9% - | 3% | 66% |
| []t. | Good library services | 31% | 41% | 20% | 7% - | 2% | 71% |
| []u. | An adequate number of affordable places to | | | | | | |
| | live | 47% | 31% | 13 % | 6% - | 3% | <i>78%</i> |

| | | EXT IMP | VERY IMP | SMWT IMP | NOT TOO <u>IMP</u> | ONO OPIN/ <u>DK/NA</u> | EXT/ VERY |
|--------|---------------------------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| (SPLI | T SAMPLE B CONTINUED) | | | | | | |
| []v. | Easy access to protected open space and | | | | | | |
| | natural areas | 33% | 37% | 21% | 5% | 5 % | 70% |
| []w. | Healthy local businesses that stay in | | | | | | |
| | Hayward | 47% | 40% | 10% | 2% | 1 % | 87% |
| []x. | Effective garbage, yard waste, and curb- | | | | | | |
| | side recycling | 44% | 35% | 18% | 2% | 1 % | <i>7</i> 9% |
| []y. | A strong financial base to fund City | | | | | | |
| | programs and services | 40% | 33% | 21% | 2% | 4% | 74% |
| []z. | Having public art throughout the city | 20% | 24% | 36% | 19% | 2% | 43% |
| []aa. | Minimizing the number of evictions | 26% | 26% | 20% | 11% | 16% | 53% |
| []bb. | Adequate preparation for disasters, such as | | | | | | |
| | flooding or earthquakes | 43 % | 37% | 13% | 3% | 4% | 80% |

12. Next, I am going to mention some services and programs the City provides. I would like you to tell me how <u>satisfied</u> you are <u>personally</u> with the job being done by the City of Hayward in providing that program or service to City residents: very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied. If you have no opinion or don't know about a service I mention to you, you can tell me that too. Here is the first one... **(RANDOMIZE)**

| | | VERY | SMWT | SMWT | | NO OPIN/ | TOTAL | TOTAL |
|--------|---------------------------------------|---------|------------|--------------|--------------|----------|-------------|---------------|
| (CDI I | TE CAMPILE A COMPAN | SAT | <u>SAT</u> | DISSAT | DISSAT | DK/NA | <u>SAT</u> | <u>DISSAT</u> |
| ` | T SAMPLE A ONLY) | | | | | | | |
| []a. | Neighborhood police patrols | | 222 | | ~ | 10~ | 40.00 | 20.00 |
| | 2019 | | | , , | | , _ | 48% | 39% |
| | 2016 | | 38% | 16% | 14% | 12% | 59% | 30% |
| []b. | Fire protection and emergency service | | | | | | | |
| | 2019 | . 0 , 0 | 2 = 70 | 0 , c | — / ° | _0,0 | 71 % | 9% |
| | 2016 | 48% | 37% | 5% | 1 % | 10% | 85% | 6% |
| | 2014 | 61% | 26% | 5% | 1 % | 7% | 87% | 6% |
| | 2012 | 55% | 33 % | 4% | 1 % | 6% | 88% | 5% |
| | 2010 | 67% | 23 % | 3 % | 2 % | 5% | 85% | 5% |
| | 2008 | 57% | 30% | 3 % | 2 % | 8% | 87% | 5% |
| []c. | Street and sidewalk maintenance | | | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 16% | 40% | 27% | 12% | 5% | 56% | <i>3</i> 9% |
| | 2016 | 25% | 44 % | 16% | 14 % | 2% | 69% | 30% |
| | 2014 | 41% | 33 % | 16% | 9% | 1% | <i>74</i> % | 25% |
| | 2012 | 42% | 33 % | 14% | 9% | 9% | <i>75</i> % | 23% |
| | 2010 | 39% | 33 % | 10% | 16% | 1% | 72% | 26% |
| | 2008 | 27% | 40% | 15% | 17% | 2% | 67% | 32% |
| []d. | Street lighting | | | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 15% | 48% | 28% | 8 % | 3% | 62% | 35% |
| | 2016 | 31% | 41% | 15% | 10% | 2% | 72% | 25% |
| | 2014 | 50% | 31% | 11% | 6% | 2% | 81% | 17% |
| | 2012 | 43 % | 34 % | 14% | 9% | 1% | 77% | 22% |
| | 2010 | 36% | 44 % | 9% | 10% | 1 % | 80% | 19% |
| | 2008 | 0070 | , . | , , , | 20,0 | 2,0 | 71% | 27% |
| | 2000 | 3070 | 33 /0 | 11/0 | 15/0 | 5 70 | ,1,0 | 27 70 |

| | | VERY SAT | SMWT SAT | SMWT DISSAT | VERY DISSAT | NO OPIN/ DK/NA | TOTAL SAT | TOTAL DISSAT |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| (SPLI | T SAMPLE A CONTINUED) | | | | | | | |
| []e. | Revitalizing older neighborhoods and | business | districts | | | | | |
| | 2019 | | | 22% | 15% | 19% | 43% | 38% |
| | 2016 | 18% | 35% | 21% | 14% | 11% | 53% | 35% |
| | 2014 | 29% | 35% | 16% | 7% | 14% | 64% | 23% |
| | 2012 | | | | | | 64% | 27% |
| | 2010 | | | | | | 65% | 26% |
| | 2008 | / - | /- | | | /- | 63% | 26% |
| []f. | Requiring expansion of existing parks | - | - | - | - | 11/5 | 32 / s | 20,0 |
| [] | development approval | or requir | | purrio uo p | 34.1 V 31 | | | |
| | 2019 | 16% | 34% | 20% | 6% | 25% | 50% | 25% |
| | 2016 | | | | | | 61% | 18% |
| []g. | Providing parking throughout the city | | 3070 | 13 /0 | 3 70 | 2170 | 01 70 | 1070 |
| F 19. | 2019 | | 44% | 21% | 9% | 10% | 60% | 30% |
| | 2016 | | | | | | 65% | 28% |
| | 2014 | | | | | | 81% | 19% |
| | 2012 | / - | / - | ,- | - / - | - , - | 79% | 16% |
| | 2010 | - | | | | | 81% | 16% |
| | 2008 | - | - | | - | | 79% | 15% |
| []h. | The cleanliness of Hayward | 71 /0 | 30 % | 070 | 7 70 | 070 | 1270 | 13 /0 |
| [JII. | 2019 | 17% | 45% | 24% | 10% | 4% | 62% | 34% |
| | 2016 | | | | | | 67% | 31% |
| | 2014 | | | | | - | 76% | 21% |
| | 2012 | | | | | | 71% | 27% |
| []i. | Reviewing development applications | 33 /0 | 30 /0 == | 10 // | 11 /0 | 2 /0 | 71 70 | 27 70 |
| []1. | 2019 | 8% | 24% | 0% | 4% | 55% | 32% | 13% |
| | 2016 (T*) | - / - | | - , - | - , - | , - | 44% | 13% |
| []j. | Graffiti removal | 11 /6 | 23 /0 | 0 /0 | 3 /0 | 34 /0 | 77/0 | 13 /0 |
| ΓIJ· | 2019 | 18% | 30% | 18% | &% | 17% | 57% | 26% |
| | 2016 | | | | | | 65% | 23% |
| | 2014 | 2 = 7 ° | U . , , | 2 . , . | ,,, | ,,, | 78% | 16% |
| | 2012 | | /- | | | - , - | 69% | 25% |
| | 2010 | , - | | | | - , - | 55% | 27% |
| | 2008 | | /- | | , - | - , - | 68% | 25% |
| Г 11 ₂ | Attracting new businesses to the city | 33 % | 33 % | 10 % | 13 % | 0 70 | 00 % | 23 70 |
| []k. | 2019 | 12% | 20% | 25% | 10% | 210% | 41% | 35% |
| | 2016 | - | | | | - | 48% | |
| | 2014 | , . | | , , | | ,- | 48% 64% | 35 % 22 % |
| | 2012 | , - | / - | /- | | , . | 52% | |
| | 2010 | | | | | | 52% 55% | 36% |
| | 2008 | / - | | | | , _ | 55 % 60% | 32% |
| | 2000 | 22% | 30% | 14% | 12% | 14% | 00% | 26% |

| | | VERY SAT | SMWT SAT | SMWT DISSAT | VERY DISSAT | NO OPIN/ DK/NA | TOTAL SAT | TOTAL DISSAT |
|-------|-------------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|------------------------|
| (SPLI | T SAMPLE A CONTINUED) | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | 2100111 | 2100111 | 211/1/11 | 5111 | 2155111 |
| []1. | Increasing the availability of local jobs | | | | | | | |
| | 2019 | - | | - | - | | <i>39</i> % | 31% |
| | 2016 | 9% | -32% | 21% | 11% | 27 % | 41% | <i>32</i> % |
| | 2014 | -26% | 25% | 19% | 13 % | 18% | 51% | <i>32</i> % |
| | 2012 | -19% | 27% | 21% | 16% | 18% | 46% | <i>37</i> % |
| | 2010 | -14% | 28% | 21% | 23 % | 14% | <i>42</i> % | <i>44</i> % |
| | 2008 | -19% | -33% | 15% | 12% | 22 % | <i>52</i> % | 27% |
| []m. | Regulating rent increases | 8% | 19% | 18% | 27% | 27% | 27% | 46% |
| (SPLI | T SAMPLE B ONLY) | | | | | | | |
| `]n. | Police protection | | | | | | | |
| F 3 | 2019 | -25% | 39% | 17% | 8% | 11% | 64% | 25% |
| | 2016 | | | | | · · | 69% | 23% |
| | 2014 | -40% | 36% | 11% | 9% | 4% | 76% | 20% |
| | 2012 | -41% | 34% | 12% | 9% | 4 % | 75% | 21% |
| | 2010 | . = , . | 7 - 7 - | /- | , , , | | 78% | 20% |
| | 2008 | | | | | • | <i>78%</i> | 18% |
| []o. | Animal services, such as stray animal | | | | | 370 | 7070 | 10 /0 |
| []0. | 2019 | | | | | 34% | 45% | 21% |
| | 2016 | | | | | | 59% | 17% |
| | 2014 | | | | = | | 68% | 16% |
| | 2012 | | | | | - | 64% | 18% |
| | 2010 | | | | | | 72% | 16% |
| | 2008 | | | | - | - | 68% | $\frac{10 \%}{14 \%}$ |
| Γln | Traffic circulation | -33 /0 | 33 /0 | 8 /0 | 0 /0 | 10 /0 | 00 70 | 17/0 |
| []p. | 2019 | 6.01 | 2601 | 2007 | 2707 | 1 07 | 32% | 64% |
| | 2016 | | | | | - | 32 % 40% | 57% |
| | 2014 | | | | | | , . | |
| | 2012 | | | | | | 61 % 59 % | <i>36</i> % |
| | 2012 | | | | | - | | <i>39</i> % |
| | | | /- | , _ | | | 68% | 32% |
| F 1~ | 2008 | -22% | 42% | 1/% | 10% | 3% | 64% | 33% |
| []q. | Landscaping and medians in Hayward | 2 0 64 | 47.07 | 1.4.07 | 7.01 | 100 | C C 01 | 2107 |
| | 2019 | | - | | | - | 66% | 21% |
| | 2016 | | | , _ | | - / - | 72 % | 20% |
| | 2014 | / - | / - | , - | | - /- | <i>78%</i> | 17% |
| | 2012 | -39% | 38% | 12% | 8% | 4 % | 77% | 20% |
| []r. | Revitalizing the downtown area | 22~ | 1.6~ | 4 = ~ | - ~- | 40~ | <i>~</i> 0∼ | 22~ |
| | 2019 | - | | | - | | 68% | 22% |
| | 2016 | | | | | - | 70% | 23% |
| | 2014 | | | , - | - , - | - /- | 78% | 16% |
| | 2012 | | /- | - ,- | - / - | - / - | 76% | 17% |
| | 2010 | | / - | , _ | - / - | | 70% | 21% |
| | 2008 | -31% | 42% | 8% | 10% | 9% | 73% | 18% |

| | | VERY SAT | SMWT SAT | SMWT DISSAT | VERY DISSAT | NO OPIN/ <u>DK/NA</u> | TOTAL SAT | TOTAL DISSAT |
|-------|-----------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| (SPL) | IT SAMPLE B CONTINUED) | | | | | | | |
| []s. | Library services | | | | | | | |
| | 2019 | | | | | | 66% | 16% |
| | 2016 | | | | | = | 68% | 8% |
| | 2014 | 52% | 23 % | 6% | 2% | 17% | <i>75</i> % | 8% |
| | 2012 | 45% | 30% | 4% | 2% | 18% | <i>75</i> % | 6% |
| | 2010 | 57% | 26% | 6% | 3% | 9% | 83% | 9% |
| | 2008 | 54% | 30% | 4% | 2% | 11% | 84% | 6% |
| []t. | Increasing the availability of affordab | le housin | g | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 10% | 24% | 16% | 29% | 21% | <i>34</i> % | <i>45</i> % |
| | 2016 | 10% | 23 % | 21% | 26% | 20% | 33% | 48% |
| | 2014 | 27% | 30% | 15% | 11% | 16% | 57% | 26% |
| | 2012 | 24 % | 33% | 13% | 13% | 17% | 57% | 26% |
| | 2010 | 26% | 32% | 11% | 12% | 19% | 58% | 23% |
| | 2008 | 24% | 35% | 14% | 11% | 17% | 59% | 25% |
| []u. | Protecting open space | | | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 22% | 38% | 13% | 6% | 21% | 61% | 18% |
| | 2016 | 22% | 36% | 11% | 7 <i>%</i> | 24% | 58% | 18% |
| | 2014 | | | | | | 67% | 16% |
| | 2012 | | | | - | | 69% | 14% |
| | 2010 | | | | | = | 69% | 14% |
| | 2008 | | | | | | 67% | 19% |
| []v. | Retaining existing businesses | 20 % | 3770 | 770 | 1070 | 1170 | 07 70 | 1770 |
| [],. | 2019 | 13% | 33% | 17% | 8% | 20% | 46% | 25% |
| | 2016 | | | | | | 45 % | 26% |
| | 2014 | | | | | | 67% | 17% |
| | 2012 | | | | | | 63% | 26% |
| []w. | Garbage, yard waste, and curb-side re | | 43 /0 | 13 /0 | 11 /0 | 12 /0 | 03 /0 | 20 /0 |
| []w. | 2019 | | 120% | 100% | 9 07. | 6.0% | 76% | 18% |
| | 2016 | | • | | | | 70 % 79 % | 10 % 19 % |
| | 2014 | | | /- | - , - | | 19% 89% | |
| | 2012 | | | - / - | - , - | | | 13% |
| | | | / - | - , - | - / - | - / - | 85% | 13% |
| | 2010 | | | | | | 87% | 13% |
| | 2008 | / - | | - , - | - , - | 2% | 86% | 12% |
| []x. | Maintaining a strong financial base to | | • • • | | | 260 | 1201 | 3 00 |
| | 2019 | | | | | | 43% | 20% |
| | 2016 | - | | - | | - | 51% | 21% |
| | 2014 | | | | | | 58% | 18% |
| | 2012 | | | | , - | | 55% | 24% |
| | 2010 | | /- | , - | ,- | /- | 52% | 27% |
| | 2008 | 19% | 36% | 12% | 8% | 25 % | 55% | 20% |
| []y. | Increasing the amount of public art | | | | | | | |
| | 2019 | - | = | | | | 66% | 9% |
| | 2016 | | | | - | - | <i>73</i> % | 13% |
| | 2014 (T*) | | | | | | <i>77</i> % | 9% |
| | 2012 | | | - | | | <i>72</i> % | <i>13</i> % |
| []z. | Protecting renters from eviction | 11% | 18% | 11% | 15% | 46% | 28% | 26% |

NOW, I'D LIKE TO ASK YOU ABOUT YOUR EXPERIENCES WITH CITY DEPARTMENTS AND PERSONNEL.

13. In the past 12 months, did you contact a City of Hayward department for any reason other than an emergency?

| | <u>2008</u> | <u>2010</u> | <u>2012</u> | <u>2014</u> | <u>2016</u> | 2019 |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Yes | 31% | 20% | 20% | 19% | 28% | 28% |
| No | 68% | 80% | 80% | 79% | 70% | 70% |
| (DON'T READ) DK/NA | 1 % | 0% | 0% | 2 % | 1% | 2 % |

(ASK Q14-Q15 IF YES - CODE 1 - IN Q13)

14. With which City department did you have contact? (DO NOT READ LIST, RECORD VERBATIM RESPONSE THEN CODE; ACCEPT MULTIPLE RESPONSES)

| Police26% |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Code Enforcement 14% |
| Utilities and Environmental Services 10% |
| Building and Safety Services/Permit Counter7% |
| City Clerk7% |
| Animal Services5% |
| Business Licensing5% |
| Planning5% Street Maintenance |
| Street Maintenance5% |
| Public Works4% |
| DMV3% |
| Housing3% |
| Office of the City Manager3% |
| Finance2% |
| Fire1% |
| Economic Development0% |
| Library Services0% |
| |
| Other (SPECIFY) 5% |
| (DON'T READ) DK/NA2% |

Were you satisfied or dissatisfied with ______ the Hayward City employee or employees with whom you had contact? (IF SATISFIED/DISSATISFIED, ASK: "Was that very or just somewhat?") (RANDOMIZE)

| | , | | | | | | | |
|-------|----------------------------------------|------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|--------|--------------|---------------|
| | | VERY | SMWT | SMWT | VERY | (DK/NO | TOTAL | TOTAL |
| | | <u>SAT</u> | <u>SAT</u> | DISSAT | DISSAT | OPIN) | <u>SAT</u> | <u>DISSAT</u> |
| []a. | Getting your problem resolved or que | | - | | | | | |
| | 2019 | | | | | | 62% | <i>35</i> % |
| | 2016 | | | | | | 70% | 29% |
| | 2014 | 52 % | 21% | 11% | 12% | 4 % | <i>73</i> % | 33% |
| | 2012 | 53 % | 24% | 9% | 13 % | 2% | 77% | 22% |
| | 2010 | 54 % | 13 % | 23% | 8% | 1% | 67% | 31% |
| | 2008 | 44 % | 35% | 10% | 10% | 2% | <i>79</i> % | 20% |
| []b. | The customer service you received from | om | | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 42% | 27% | 9% | 17% | 5% | 69% | 26% |
| | 2016 | 46% | 27% | 12% | 12% | 2% | <i>73</i> % | 24% |
| | 2014 | 57% | 31% | 2% | 6% | 3 % | 88% | 8% |
| | 2012 | 59% | 17% | 5% | 15% | 4 % | 76% | 20% |
| | 2010 | 56% | 28% | 9% | 6% | 1% | 84% | <i>15</i> % |
| | 2008 | 48% | 38% | 5% | 6% | 3 % | 86% | 13% |
| []c. | Courtesy of | | | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 51% | 25% | 5% | 12% | 7% | 76% | 17% |
| | 2016 | 49% | 29% | 6% | 10% | 6% | 78% | 16% |
| | 2014 | 57% | 28% | 3% | 10% | 4% | 85% | 13% |
| | 2012 | 64 % | 24% | 2% | 7% | 3 % | 88% | 9% |
| | 2010 | 56% | 22% | 10% | 9% | 2% | <i>78%</i> | 19% |
| | 2008 | 52% | 39% | 3% | 2% | 4% | 91% | 5% |
| []d. | Timeliness of the response of | | | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 40% | 28% | 14% | 15 % | 3 % | 68% | 29% |
| | 2016 | 45% | 27% | 9% | 15 % | 3 % | <i>73</i> % | 24% |
| | 2014 | 46% | 32% | 5% | 12% | 5% | <i>78%</i> | 17% |
| | 2012 | 48% | 26% | 11% | 11% | 3% | 74% | 22% |
| | 2010 | 49% | 29% | 10% | 10% | 2% | 78% | 20% |
| []e. | Voicing your concerns on major comm | munity iss | sues | | | | | |
| | 2019 | _ | | 8% | 15% | 30% | 46% | 23% |
| | 2016 | - | | | | | 57% | 23% |
| | 2014 | | | | | | 61% | 33% |
| | 2012 | | - | | | | 62% | 17% |
| | | 2070 | /0 | 11/0 | 5 70 | /0 | 02 /0 | 1, /0 |

FM3 RESEARCH 320-847 WT PAGE 14

(RESUME ASKING ALL RESPONDENTS)

16. Next, I am going to mention different aspects of <u>police</u> services specifically. I would like you to tell me how <u>satisfied</u> you are <u>personally</u> with the job being done by the City of Hayward Police in providing that program or service to City residents: very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied. If you have no opinion or don't know about a service I mention to you, you can tell me that too. Here is the first one... **(RANDOMIZE)**

| | | VERY | SMWT | SMWT | | NO OPIN/ | TOTAL | TOTAL |
|-------|----------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| []a. | Fighting crime committed against peo | <u>SAT</u> nle | <u>SAT</u> | DISSAT | DISSAT | <u>DK/NA</u> | <u>SAT</u> | <u>DISSAT</u> |
| լ յա. | 2019 | | 25% | 10% | 9% | 37% | 44% | 19% |
| | 2016 | | | | | | 56% | 21% |
| | 2014 | | | | | | 64% | 18% |
| | 2012 | | | | | | 67% | 20% |
| | 2010 | | | | | | 62% | 25% |
| | 2008 | | | | | | 68% | 18% |
| []b. | Fighting crime involving property dan | | | 1170 | 770 | 1470 | 00 70 | 1070 |
| []- | 2019 | _ | | 14% | 15% | 31% | <i>3</i> 9% | 29% |
| | 2016 | | | - | | | 52% | 26% |
| | 2014 | | | | | | 58% | 25% |
| | 2012 | | | | | | 60% | 26% |
| | 2010 | / - | | | | | 62% | 25% |
| | 2008 | | | | /- | / - | 64% | 14% |
| []c. | Maintaining traffic safety | | | 7. | , | | | |
| | 2019 | 20% | 40% | 13% | 10% | 16% | 60% | 23% |
| | 2016 | 24% | 42% | 17% | 10% | 7% | 66% | 26% |
| | 2014 | 47% | 32% | 9% | 6% | 6% | 79% | 15% |
| | 2012 | 42% | 35% | 11% | 7% | 4% | 77% | 18% |
| | 2010 | 48% | 34% | 9% | 6% | 4% | 82% | 15% |
| | 2008 | 39% | 40% | 11% | 8% | 3% | 79% | 19% |
| []d. | Working with an ethnically diverse po | | | | | | | |
| | 2019 | • | 28% | 7% | 3 % | 37% | 53% | 10% |
| | 2016 | 32% | 33% | 11% | 9% | 15% | 65% | 20% |
| | 2014 | 43 % | 28% | 6% | 6% | 17% | 71% | 12% |
| | 2012 | 44 % | 29% | 7% | 4% | 16% | 73% | 11% |
| | 2010 | 42% | 37% | 5% | 4% | 12% | <i>7</i> 9% | 9% |
| | 2008 | 37% | 36% | 5% | 7% | 16% | 73% | 12% |
| []e. | Officers being courteous to the public | | | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 31% | 31% | 6% | 5% | 26% | 62% | 12% |
| | 2016 | 35% | 36% | 8% | 7% | 14% | 71% | 15% |
| | 2014 | 52% | 28% | 4% | 7% | 10% | 80% | 11% |
| | 2012 | 46% | 32% | 5% | 5% | 11% | <i>78%</i> | 11% |
| | 2010 | 44 % | 26% | 12% | 8% | 11% | 70% | 20% |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | | VERY | SMWT | SMWT | VERY | NO OPIN/ | TOTAL | TOTAL |
|-------|-----------------------------------------|-----------|------|--------|--------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| | | SAT | SAT | DISSAT | DISSAT | DK/NA | SAT | DISSAT |
| []f. | 911 operators being courteous to the | public | | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 32% | 16% | 1 % | 2% | 48% | 48% | 3% |
| | 2016 | 34% | 26% | 4% | 2 % | 35% | 59% | 6% |
| | 2014 | 49% | 19% | 3% | 3 % | 26% | 68% | 6% |
| | 2012 | 50% | 20% | 3 % | 2% | 25 % | 70% | 5% |
| | 2010 | 51% | 25% | 5% | 2% | 18% | <i>76</i> % | 7% |
| | 2008 | 41% | 25% | 4% | 5% | 26% | 66% | 9% |
| []g. | Maintaining adequate neighborhood p | atrolling | | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 16% | 31% | 23% | 13% | 17% | 47% | <i>36</i> % |
| | 2016 | 17% | 43% | 19% | 13% | 8% | 60% | <i>32</i> % |
| | 2014 | 43 % | 28% | 13% | 12% | 5% | 71 % | 25% |
| | 2012 | 37% | 28% | 18% | 14% | 4 % | 65% | <i>32</i> % |
| | 2010 | 43 % | 35% | 11% | 10% | 2% | <i>78%</i> | 21% |
| []h. | Timeliness of response to police calls | | | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 21% | 25% | 7% | 9% | 37% | 47% | 16% |
| | 2016 | 26% | 28% | 11% | 8% | 27 % | <i>54</i> % | 19% |
| | 2014 | 40% | 25% | 8% | 9% | 18% | 65% | 17% |
| | 2012 | 41% | 22% | 12% | 8% | 17% | 63% | 20% |
| | 2010 | 44% | 29% | 10% | 6% | 11% | <i>73</i> % | 16% |
| []i. | The time it takes to get through to a 9 | 011 opera | tor | | | | | |
| | 2019 | 29% | 16% | 4% | 2% | 50% | 44% | 6% |
| | 2016 | 32% | 26% | 5% | 3 % | 35% | 57% | 8% |
| | 2014 | 43 % | 20% | 4% | 3% | 30% | 63% | 7% |
| []j. | Responsiveness of non-emergency op | erators | | | | | | |
| 2 | 2019 | | 23 % | 8% | 6% | 39% | 47% | 14% |
| | 2016 | 27% | 32% | 7% | 6% | 27 % | 59% | 13% |
| | 2014 | 44 % | 20% | 3% | 3 % | 31% | 64% | 6% |
| | | | | | | | | |

17. Next, I am going to read a list of ways the City of Hayward may provide information to local residents. For each one I mention, please tell me whether you would definitely pay attention, maybe pay attention, or definitely would not pay attention to information about the City if it were presented to you in that way. (RANDOMIZE)

| (SPL1 | DEF MAYBE DEF NOT PAY PAY PAY ATT ATT (DK/NA) TT SAMPLE A ONLY) | TOTAL PAY <u>ATT</u> |
|-------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| []a. | A booth at a special event, such as a fair, | |
| | festival, or farmers' market8% | <i>75</i> % |
| []b. | A news article in a local newspaper, in | |
| | print, or online 33%41% 6% | <i>74</i> % |
| []c. | A City Council meeting 22% 39% 28% 11% | 61% |
| []d. | A Facebook post8% | 58% |
| []e. | A notification from the City or alert on Nixle 34% 21% 25% | 54% |
| []f. | The Access Hayward mobile app 36% 27% 14% | 64% |

| (SPLI | T SAMPLE B ONLY) | DEF PAY <u>ATT</u> | MAYBE PAY <u>ATT</u> | DEF NOT PAY <u>ATT</u> | (DK/NA) | TOTAL PAY ATT |
|-------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|---------|---------------------|
| []g. | Information from a friend or neighbor | 51% | 31% | 10% | 8% | 82% |
| []h. | An e-newsletter from your City like The Stack | 41% | 26% | 19% | 13% | 68% |
| []i. | A post on Nextdoor.com | 19% | 25% | 41% | 16% | 44% |
| []j. | The HARD newsletter | 33% | 30% | 22% | 15% | 62% |
| []k. | Information on the City of Hayward website | 35% | 33 % | 23 % | 8% | 68% |
| []1. | Your water bill | 63 % | 18% | 9% | 10% | 81% |
| []m. | The City of Hayward on Twitter | 11% | 15% | 56% | 18% | 26% |

18. Some people have proposed that the City of Hayward upgrade its public safety facilities, including replacing the current police operations center and updating the 9-1-1 dispatch and crime lab to meet current earthquake safety codes and technological requirements. This could be funded by increasing local taxes. Does this sound like something you support or oppose? (IF SUPPORT/OPPOSE, ASK: "Is that strongly SUPPORT/OPPOSE, or just somewhat?")

| TOTAL SUPPORT | 51% |
|------------------|------|
| Strongly support | 24 % |
| Somewhat support | 28% |
| | |
| | |
| TOTAL OPPOSE | 35% |
| Somewhat oppose | |
| | 12 % |

(DON'T READ) DK/NA/REFUSED -- 14%

19. Next, I am going to read you a list of several methods that might be used to raise money to fund the types of infrastructure repairs and improvements we have been discussing. After you hear each one, please tell me if you would support or oppose that particular way of raising new revenue for these purposes. FIRST/NEXT, would you support or oppose (RANDOMIZE)? (IF SUPPORT/OPPOSE, ASK:) "Is that strongly SUPPORT/OPPOSE or just somewhat?

| | STR SMWT SMWT STR SUPP SUPP OPP OPP (DK/NA) | TOTAL SUPP | TOTAL <u>OPP</u> |
|----------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| []a. []b. | Increasing the sales tax | 30% | 66% |
| []c. | and motel guests7% Issuing bonds16%34%11%14%25% | 60% 51% | 32% 25% |

| FM: | 3 RESEARCH | 320-847 WT | PAGE 17 |
|-----|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 20. | <u>*</u> | ent issue: As you may know, every 10 nt by asking them to fill out a Census que EAD LIST) | • |
| | | EXTREMELY/VERY LIKELY | 73% |
| | | Extremely likely | |
| | | Very likely | |
| | | SOMEWHAT/NOT TOO LIKEL | Y 21% |
| | | Somewhat likely | 12 % |
| | | Not too likely | 9% |
| | | (DON'T READ) DK/NA/Refused - | 6% |
| | HERE ARE MY FINAL QUESTIONS. | THEY ARE JUST FOR STATISTIC | AL PURPOSES. |
| 21. | Were you born and raised in Hayward? LIST) | (IF NO, ASK: How long have you lived | l in Hayward?) (READ |
| | | Born and raised | 10% |
| | | 5 years or less | |
| | | 6 to 10 years | |
| | | 11 to 15 years | |
| | | 16 to 20 years | |
| | | 21 to 30 years | |
| | | 31 years or more | |
| | | (DON'T READ) DK/NA/Refused - | 4 % |
| 22. | Do you own or rent your residence? | | |
| | | Own | 45% |
| | | Pent | |

Do you have any children under the age of 19 living at home?

23.

(DON'T READ) DK/NA/Refused ----- 11%

Yes ----- 33 % No ----- 63 % (**DON'T READ**) DK/NA------4%

| 24. I don't need to know the exact amount, but please stop me when I read the category that income for your household before taxes in 2018. Was it: | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | | \$30,000 a year or less 13% | | |
| | | \$30,001 to \$60,00017% | | |
| | | \$60,001 to \$90,00018% | | |
| | | \$90,001 to \$110,000 10% | | |
| | | \$110,001 to \$140,0007% | | |
| | | \$140,001 to \$170,0005% | | |
| | | \$170,001 to \$200,0004% | | |
| | | More than \$200,0006% | | |
| | | (DON'T READ) Refused 18% | | |
| 25. | Do you identify as? | | | |
| | _ 0 | Male 45% | | |
| | | Female49% | | |
| | | Other1% | | |
| | | (DON'T READ) Refused5% | | |
| | T | HANK AND TERMINATE | | |
| | - | | | |
| LOI (OBSERVATION): | | English97% | | |
| | , | Spanish3% | | |
| DAD | THE DECICE A THOU | | | |
| PAR | TY REGISTRATION: | Democrat61% | | |
| | | Republican 10% | | |
| | | No Party Preference23% | | |
| | | Other5% | | |
| \mathbf{FL}_{L} | AGS | AGE | | |
| P14 | 20 % | 18-2414% | | |
| G 14 | 4 30% | 25-3423 % | | |
| P16 | 39% | 35-4417% | | |
| G16 | 5 65 % | 45-5417% | | |
| P18 | 37 % | 55-598% | | |
| G18 | 3 74 % | 60-647% | | |
| BL | ANK 15 % | 65-748% | | |
| | | 75 +7 % | | |
| | USEHOLD PARTY TYPE | | | |
| | 41 % | PERMANENT ABSENTEE | | |
| | + 13 % | Yes 82 % | | |
| | 5 % | No 18% | | |
| R2- | +2% | | | |
| I1+ | 22 % | | | |
| 3.4. | - 1 1.CM | | | |

Mixed------ 16%