

DATE:	July 13, 2021
ТО:	Mayor and City Council
FROM:	Maintenance Services Director
SUBJECT:	Adopt a Resolution of Intention to Preliminarily Approve the Engineer's Report and Levy Assessments for Fiscal Year 2022 for Maintenance District No. 1 – Storm Drainage Pumping Station and Storm Drain Conduit Located at Pacheco Way, Stratford Road and Ruus Lane, and Set July 20, 2021, as the Public Hearing Date

#### RECOMMENDATION

That the City Council adopts the attached resolution (Attachment II).

#### **SUMMARY**

This report is being provided, as required by the Hayward Municipal Code, to preliminarily approve the Engineer's Report, to include the FY 2022 annual assessment and budget. It is a follow-up report to the March 23<sup>1</sup>, May 4<sup>2</sup>, and June 22<sup>3</sup>, 2021 Maintenance District No. 1 (MD 1) reports, whereas a Proposition 218 Election was held and did not pass.

MD 1 was formed in 1995 as a financial funding mechanism to fund the ongoing operation, maintenance, repair, and replacement of a Storm Water Lift Station (SWLS) in perpetuity. The SWLS was built by the developer as a condition of development for construction of the Stratford Village neighborhood. The SWLS is the only privately built SWLS in the City, built only to service the Stratford Village neighborhood. Following construction of the facility, the Alameda County Flood Control District (County) was asked to take over ownership and maintenance of the facility since the County operated similar facilities within the City and the County. The agreement signed by both parties calls for the City to act as an intermediary, using MD 1 funds to reimburse the County for annual expenses and supply MD 1 funds annually for a capital replacement fund.

The FY 2022 assessment charged to the 174 property owners is being recommended to be levied at the maximum amount allowed by law (\$243.92), which is the same as the previous year. MD 1's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> URL – WS 21-014, Proposal to Hold Prop. 218 Election (March 23, 2021)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> URL – Consent 21-150, Approve Mailing of Ballots (May 4, 2021)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> URL – PH 21-045, Stratford Village Prop. 218 Hearing (June 22, 2021)

account balance is currently negative, and staff will be analyzing options to remedy the deficit account balance. The negative balance can be contributed to the following factors:

- 1. MD 1 was formed without the inclusion of an annual inflation factor in its Maximum Base Assessment Rate calculation.
- 2. Maintenance & Operation (M&O) charges from the County are inconsistent, and in recent years have gotten larger.
- 3. The Countywide System Upgrade's charge to MD 1 is \$503,980.
- 4. Proposition 218 Election did not pass.

### BACKGROUND

#### Annual Report Compliance

In compliance with Section 10-10.25 of the Hayward Municipal Code, an annual Engineer's Report is required to be submitted to the Council. The report is attached (Attachment III) and includes:

- 1. Description of the improvements to be operated, maintained, and serviced;
- 2. FY 2022 recommended budget;
- 3. FY 2022 maximum base assessment rate;
- 4. FY 2022 recommended assessment rate; and
- 5. Map of the benefit zone (assessment diagram).

#### <u>Formation</u>

On June 6, 1995, the Council ordered the formation of MD 1 to provide for the maintenance, operation, and capital repair and replacement of storm drainage improvements. A SWLS was constructed to pump storm water run-off outside the neighborhood and into a flood control channel (Ward Creek). The drainage basin includes 29.1 acres, of which 24.7 acres are residential, 1.9 acres are for a park site, and 2.5 acres are for the collector streets of Stratford Road and Ruus Lane. Pacheco Way does not drain into this basin system nor does the industrial property to the south. The total number of lots in the drainage basin is 174. The original assessment rate did not include an annual inflation factor, which impedes MD 1's ability for revenue to keep up with expenses.

Following construction of the facility, the County was asked to take over ownership and maintenance of the facility since the County operated similar facilities within the City and the County. The agreement signed by both parties calls for the City to act as an intermediary, using District funds to reimburse the County for annual expenses and supplying annual District funds to be deposited into a capital replacement fund. The storm water pumping facility includes a masonry building that houses the Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) System, generator, and four pumps.

## Static, Unchangeable Maximum Base Assessment Rate

As part of any district formation, a base annual M&O budget is established, along with a capital replacement estimate. These figures form the basis for the Maximum Base Assessment (MBA) rate, which is the maximum charge that a parcel can be assessed annually. As costs generally increase over time by inflation, many district MBAs include an annual inflation factor in the original calculation so that the assessment revenue can keep up with increases in expenses. In the case of this district, an inflation adjustment factor was not included in the original calculation; therefore, the MBA cannot be increased without holding a successful Proposition 218 ballot election. The City held a Proposition 218 election on May 4, with results posted on June 22. The majority of property owners who submitted ballots voted to not increase their annual assessment and not to include an annual inflation factor. Therefore, the FY 2021 assessment rate stands at \$243.92 for FY 2022 and beyond and cannot be increased or adjusted annually by an inflation factor.

### Countywide System Upgrade

In 2018, the County notified the City of its Countywide System Upgrade Project. Stratford Village's SWLS cost for this upgrade now totals \$503,980. During the FY 2019<sup>4</sup>, FY 2020<sup>5</sup>, and FY 2021<sup>6</sup> annual reports, staff advised the Council of the proposed County charges as the estimates were provided to the City. Since the last report, the County has informed the City of another \$42,281 consultant bill.

### Failed Proposition 218 Election

On May 4, 2021, the Council initiated a Proposition 218 election, with results tallied on June 22, 2021. The majority of the property owners who submitted ballots rejected a low-interest, long-repayment term \$379,000 transfer of funds to pay for needed capital improvements, and to add an annual inflation factor to the maximum amount that can be charged annually. The measure overwhelmingly failed, whereas 69% of ballots cast (45/65) rejected the increased assessment and inclusion of an annual inflation factor.

### DISCUSSION

MD 1 was established 25 years ago and did not include an inflation factor adjustment for revenue so that income could keep pace with expenditures. Over the years, the district has struggled to pay for basic M&O costs and contribute to a capital reserve, as seen below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> <u>URL</u> – PH 18-014, MD1 FY 2019 Annual Report (June 26, 2018)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> <u>URL</u> – PH 19-040, MD 1 FY 2020 Annual Report (June 4, 2019)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> <u>URL</u> – PH 20-050, MD 1 FY 2021 Annual Report (June 23, 2020)

#### City of Hayward Maintenance District No. 1 - Stratford Village SWLS Fund 270, Project 3745 Formed 1995, 174 Parcels

		FY 2016 Actual	FY 2017 Actual	FY 2018 Actual	FY 2019 Actual	FY 2020 Actual	FY 2021 Estimated	FY 2022 Proposed
Assess	mont							
a.	Maximum Base Assessment Amount	243.92	243.92	243.92	243.92	243.92	243.92	243.92
u.	Maximum Base Assessment Amount	245.52	245.52	245.52	245.52	245.52	245.52	243.52
b.	Annual Per Parcel Assessment	243.92	243.92	243.92	243.92	243.92	243.92	243.92
с.	# of Parcels	\$	174	174	174	174	174	174
d.	Total Amount Assessed for the District:	42,442.08	42,442.08	42,442.08	42,442.08	42,442.08	42,442.08	42,442.08
Income	2							
a.	Annual Assessment Revenue	42,442	42,442	42,442	42,442	42,442	42,442	42,442
b.	Minus County Tax Collection Fee (1.7%)	(722)	(722)	(722)	(722)	(722)	(722)	(722
с.	Adjustment for Delinquencies	-	(13)	(330)	378	(196)	-	-
d.	<u>Other</u>		11	-	1,890	628		-
e.	Total Revenue:	41,721	41,719	41,391	43,989	42,153	41,721	41,721
Service	sc							
a.	Utilities: PGE	1,512	1,745	1,542	1,768	1,625	1,900	2,100
b.	Pump Station O&M - ACFCD	14,374	14,044		40,003	21,359	18,000	33,910
с.	Pump Station - O&M - ACFCD - Past Due Amt	-	-	-	40,000	-	-	-
d.	Pump Station - ACFCD Capital Reserve	-	5,500	-	-	-	-	-
e.	SCADA Consultant	-	-	-	-	-	36,613	-
f.	Proposition 218	-	-	-	-	-	27,500	-
g.	Property Owner Noticing	159	178	84	77	73	100	103
h.	Annual Reporting	1,120	1,067	1,159	411	2,168	1,002	1,050
i.	City Administration	1,939	4,064	2,000	3,692	3,500	3,605	3,713
j.	Total Expenditures:	19,103	26,598	4,785	85,951	28,724	88,720	40,876
Accour	it Balance							
a.	Beginning Account Balance	(17,030)	5,588	20,708	57,314	15,352	28,780	(18,220
b.	<u>Net Change (Revenue - Expenditures)</u>	22,618	15,121	36,606	(41,962)	13,428	(47,000)	844
с.	Ending Account Balance:	5,588	20,708	57,314	15,352	28,780	(18,220)	(17,376

M&O is performed by the County under contract. Over the years, charges for M&O have been inconsistent. In FY 2017 and 2018, M&O charges had increased so much that the City delayed payment for one year to have discussions with the County.

In April 2018, the County alerted the City of additional Stratford Village SWLS District costs. The County advised that they had commissioned consultants to complete a Pump Station Equipment Condition Assessment and were purchasing a new SCADA system that required design and construction consultants. The consultant studies and construction were systemwide, with the Stratford Village SWLS District's charges totaling to \$503,980 as shown on the following page. Payment for item 1 was made by zeroing out MD 1's capital reserve fund.

## <u>Stratford Village SWLS District - Portion of Countywide System Upgrade</u><sup>7</sup>

1) Initial Consultant fee (paid in FY 2020 with capital reserve)	\$87,597
2) Second Consultant fee (paid in FY 2021, resulting in negative balance)	\$36,613
3) SCADA construction	\$255,000
4) Equipment rehabilitation (0-5 years)	\$49,248
5) Equipment rehabilitation (5-10 years)	\$33,241
6) <u>Third Consultant fee<sup>8</sup></u>	<u>\$42,281</u>
Total	\$503,980

(Legend: Paid, Unpaid)

For FY 2022, MD 1 will collect a net annual amount of \$41,721. For FY 2022, MD 1 will budget \$40,876 in annual expenses (which includes the County estimated M&O amount of \$33,910.) The estimated FY 2022 net result is estimated to be a positive \$844. This amount will help to offset the FY 2021 estimated negative balance, as shown below.

FY 2022 Budget (Does not include \$379,770 unpaid estimate from above)

Starting Balance	<u>-\$18,220</u>
Net Revenue	\$41,721
<u>Net Expenditures</u>	<u>\$40,876</u>
Difference	<i>\$844</i>
Ending balance	-\$17,376

MD 1 does not have the funds, nor the ability to generate additional funds to pay the outstanding Countywide System Upgrade Project costs. Staff has exhausted current options which included a request for a long-term payment option from the County and/or a successful Proposition 218 Election. For FY 2022, Maintenance Services Department staff will continue to engage the County to request a long-term payment plan, and work with other City staff to discuss, identify, and propose solutions.

# FISCAL IMPACT

Staff has evaluated MD 1's operating balance and confirms that the account has a negative balance which will continue to be negative through FY 2022.

# **PUBLIC CONTACT**

City staff: 1) published a legal notice in the East Bay Times on July 2, 2021, alerting the public to this meeting and to the public hearing scheduled for July 20, 2021.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Reference: Attachment IV – County FY 2022 Annual Report, Stratford Village Storm Water Lift Station, dated February 2021.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Reference: Attachment V – County Invoice dated April 13, 2021.

#### **NEXT STEPS**

Following this Council meeting, the City of Hayward is proposing to hold a public hearing on July 20, 2021, to provide an opportunity for any interested person to be heard. After the public hearing, the Council may adopt a resolution setting the annual assessment amount as originally proposed or as modified.

Following the adoption of this resolution, the final assessor's roll will be prepared and filed with the Alameda County Auditor's Office to be included on the FY 2022 tax roll. Payment of the assessment for each parcel will be made in the same manner and at the same time as payments are made for property taxes. All revenue collected through the assessment must be placed in a special account and can only be used for the purposes stated within this report.

If the Council adopts the attached resolution of intention, it will hold a noticed public hearing on July 20, 2021, to consider approving the Engineer's Report and order the levy of assessments for FY 2022.

*Prepared by*: Denise Blohm, Management Analyst

*Recommended by:* Todd Rullman, Maintenance Services Director

Approved by:

Vilos

Kelly McAdoo, City Manager